



Solar Module Recycling India 2026: Economics, Compliance and Circular Supply Chains

By Sudarshan Karweer · 01 September 2026 · growthifye.com

A practitioner guide to solar module recycling in India in 2026: costs, EPR, reverse logistics, recovery economics and project strategy.

India's solar sector is entering a new circularity phase. The first utility-scale solar assets commissioned in the early and mid-2010s are still producing, but breakage, transit damage, warranty returns, weather losses, repowering and balance-sheet clean-up are already creating a visible waste stream. By 2026, solar module recycling is no longer a niche downstream topic. It is becoming a commercial, compliance and bankability issue for developers, independent power producers, EPC firms, OEMs, C&I buyers, lenders and state agencies.

For Growthifye's client base, the key question is not whether solar module recycling will matter, but how to structure it early enough to avoid stranded liabilities, unmanaged storage risk and weak recovery economics. In India, module recycling sits at the intersection of waste law, producer responsibility, logistics, material recovery and project-finance discipline. The winners will be firms that treat it as a designed value chain, not an afterthought.

Why solar module recycling matters in India now

India added solar capacity aggressively over the last decade, and annual additions remain strong in 2026 across utility-scale, open access, rooftop C&I and hybrid projects. Most modules have nominal operating lives of 25 years or more, but recycling demand starts much earlier because the actual waste stream is driven by:

- manufacturing defects and warranty replacements
- breakage during transport, installation and O&M
- storm, hail, flood and fire damage
- repowering and efficiency upgrades
- underperforming assets in stressed portfolios
- decommissioning of small rooftop systems with poor maintenance history

In practice, many portfolios generate damaged or non-performing modules every year. Even if annual waste is still modest relative to total installed base, the sector cannot wait for 2035 or 2040 to build systems. The economics of collection, sorting, dismantling and materials recovery improve when handled through planned aggregation and contracted offtake rather than ad hoc scrap disposal.

For lenders and institutional investors, unmanaged module waste raises three immediate concerns:

- environmental and legal exposure from improper disposal
- impairment risk where damaged inventory is not valued or cleared properly
- reputational risk for portfolios marketed as sustainable infrastructure

This is why solar circularity discussions in 2026 increasingly appear in due diligence, asset-management reviews and ESG-linked reporting frameworks, even where detailed regulation is still evolving.



The 2026 policy and compliance landscape

India's regulatory framework for solar waste is developing through a combination of e-waste rules, producer responsibility principles, state pollution control enforcement and sector-specific implementation practices. While battery rules have received more structured operational attention, solar modules are moving in the same direction: traceability, formal processing and accountable disposal.

For developers and C&I operators, the practical compliance takeaway is simple: dumping broken modules into general scrap channels is no longer defensible.

The operating compliance stack in 2026 typically involves:

- classification of waste stream under applicable e-waste and hazardous handling provisions depending on composition and contamination status
- documentation of damaged, replaced and decommissioned modules by serial number, capacity and site location
- use of authorised transporters and recyclers where required
- maintenance of handover records, weighbridge slips, destruction/recycling certificates and vendor authorisations
- OEM and importer alignment on take-back or EPR-linked responsibilities where contractually available

This is where Growthifye's capabilities such as [EPR compliance](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/eprcompliance) and Circularity reporting become commercially useful, not merely administrative. Most project owners do not fail because rules are impossible; they fail because site-level recordkeeping, vendor qualification and contract structures are weak.

A growing number of RFPs in 2026 are also introducing circularity clauses, especially for public-sector buyers, multinational C&I offtakers and export-exposed manufacturers. These clauses may ask for end-of-life plans, recycled-content disclosures, recycler tie-ups or proof of responsible disposal channels.

How module recycling economics actually work

A common misconception is that solar module recycling is already a high-margin materials recovery business. In India in 2026, that is not broadly true. The economics remain highly sensitive to logistics radius, breakage mix, labour intensity, technology used and the value realised from recovered materials.

A typical crystalline-silicon module contains glass, aluminium frame, copper, polymers and smaller quantities of silver and silicon-bearing components. The easiest value comes from dismantling and separation of frame, junction box and glass fractions. Higher-value recovery from laminated layers is technically possible, but yields and purity depend heavily on process sophistication.

Indicative commercial ranges seen in India in 2026 for damaged or end-of-life modules are as follows:

- collection and local handling: Rs 1.5 to Rs 4 per kg depending on packaging condition and site access
- long-haul transport: Rs 2 to Rs 6 per kg depending on distance, backhaul options and breakage containment requirements
- dismantling and preprocessing: Rs 4 to Rs 8 per kg
- full recycling and material recovery processing: Rs 8 to Rs 20 per kg depending on technology route and output specification
- total all-in processing cost: often Rs 15 to Rs 35 per kg before any material recovery credit



On the revenue side:

- aluminium frame recovery provides the most straightforward scrap value
- copper from cables and junction-box components adds modest value
- glass value is low unless sufficiently clean and sorted
- silver recovery economics depend on scale and process route
- polymer fractions often remain cost centres rather than profit centres

For many portfolios, net realised value is still negative after transport and compliant processing. In other words, module recycling in 2026 is often a managed-cost function, not a standalone profit centre. But that does not make it uneconomic. It makes contract design and aggregation strategy decisive.

The best-performing operators reduce net cost through:

- sorting intact reusable, repairable and non-recyclable fractions separately
- aggregating waste across multiple sites before dispatch
- negotiating annual rate cards instead of spot disposal
- locating preprocessing close to high-density solar clusters
- bundling insurance-claim salvage with recycler offtake arrangements

For utility-scale sites in Rajasthan, Gujarat, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu and Maharashtra, logistics optimisation can move total cost by 20% to 35% versus fragmented dispatches.

Reverse logistics and aggregation are the real moat

India's solar waste problem is less about recycling chemistry and more about collection discipline. Modules are bulky, fragile and geographically dispersed. A project with only a few tonnes of damaged modules per year will struggle to achieve efficient pickup economics unless combined with nearby assets or channel partners.

That is why the most scalable market model in 2026 is hub-and-spoke aggregation.

The structure typically includes:

- site-level segregation and safe temporary storage
- district or regional consolidation points
- barcode or serial-linked inventory recording
- periodic dispatch to dismantling or recycling facilities
- digital certificates and material-flow reporting back to asset owners

For rooftop C&I portfolios, reverse logistics complexity is even higher than for utility-scale plants because systems are fragmented across cities, industrial estates and commercial buildings. Handling access constraints, tenant coordination and low-volume pickups can double logistics cost if not pre-planned.

This makes Reverse logistics a board-level operational question for large distributed portfolios. Developers that integrate circularity provisions into O&M and asset-management contracts now will avoid much higher cleanup costs later.

There is also a safety angle. Broken modules may expose sharp edges, compromised backsheet material and electrical risk where connectors remain attached. Storage yards with mixed scrap and poor stacking practices create worker-safety incidents and insurance concerns. Standard operating procedures should



specify:

- de-energisation and cable isolation
- palletisation standards and weather protection
- broken-glass containment measures
- module-level categorisation for resale, repair, repower spares or recycling
- dispatch thresholds by weight or pallet count

Repowering, residual value and recycling strategy

One of the most important but under-discussed drivers of module recycling in India is repowering. A 2015-vintage plant using lower-wattage modules may still function, but land productivity, CUF enhancement and O&M economics can justify selective replacement in 2026, particularly where evacuation infrastructure already exists and tariff structures support incremental generation value.

In repowering cases, module circularity options should be evaluated in this order:

- continued use in current array if economically justified
- redeployment as spares for similar legacy assets
- resale into lower-performance secondary applications, subject to quality and legal checks
- donation or institutional redeployment only with documented fitness and liability transfer
- compliant recycling of non-viable units

This hierarchy matters because the difference between residual-use value and scrap value can be substantial. A functioning older module may realise meaningful reuse value in niche applications, while a cracked or moisture-damaged unit may have only negative disposal value after transport.

The decision should therefore be based on field testing, flash-test data where available, visual condition, safety status and transaction cost. An indiscriminate scrap decision can destroy value; an indiscriminate resale decision can create warranty and liability problems.

Growthifye's [End-of-life fleet audits](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/endoflifefleetaudits) can be particularly relevant here because owners often lack a portfolio-wide map of which modules should be reused, warehoused for spares, sold or recycled. Without that audit layer, circularity planning becomes anecdotal and slow.

What developers, lenders and C&I buyers should ask in 2026

Solar module recycling should now appear in procurement, financing and O&M governance. Different stakeholders should focus on different controls.

For developers and IPPs:

- Is there an approved recycler panel with audited permits and process capability?
- Are damaged and replaced modules tracked at site level by serial, wattage and reason code?
- Do EPC and O&M contracts allocate responsibility for transit damage, storage and disposal?
- Is there a budget line for end-of-life and breakage management in the asset model?

For lenders:



- Does the borrower have a documented waste-management protocol for modules and associated e-waste fractions?
- Are salvage and disposal assumptions reflected in base-case and downside-case operating models?
- Is there concentration risk from relying on a single recycler or a distant facility?
- Are compliance records available for sample verification during monitoring?

For C&I power buyers and open-access consumers:

- Does the supplier's sustainability narrative include verifiable end-of-life practices?
- Are module replacement and warranty-return streams formally managed?
- Can circularity data be disclosed for Scope 3 or supplier-engagement reporting purposes?

For policymakers and DISCOM-linked agencies:

- Can state-level aggregation and authorised handling networks be developed in major solar states?
- Are procurement frameworks rewarding formal recycling and traceability?
- Is there scope for viability support, standards or data registries to accelerate market formalisation?

The market outlook: from compliance cost to circular supply-chain advantage

India is still early in solar module recycling, but the direction is clear. Over the next three to five years, competitive advantage will come from building reliable circular supply chains before waste volumes surge.

Three developments are likely in the near term:

- more formal EPR-linked obligations and reporting expectations for solar equipment value chains
- wider use of recycler rate contracts and regional collection partnerships
- stronger demand from investors and multinational offtakers for auditable circularity metrics

As scale improves, processing costs should moderate, especially where dismantling and materials recovery are co-located near major solar corridors. But cost declines will not happen automatically. They will require predictable feedstock, better sorting, qualified recyclers and commercial discipline.

For Indian renewable-energy firms, this is not just a waste problem. It is a systems-design opportunity. Companies that integrate [Module & battery recycling]([coreservices/circularityinnovation/moduleandbatteryrecycling]) into procurement, asset management and financing conversations now will be better positioned on compliance, lender comfort and long-term portfolio value.

Solar module recycling in 2026 is therefore best viewed as infrastructure. Like evacuation, SCADA or insurance, it needs planning, counterparties, data and budget. Waiting for large waste volumes before acting is the wrong sequence.

If your organisation is evaluating module recycling strategy, repowering pathways, EPR alignment or reverse-logistics design, contact Growthifye's advisory desk for a practical circularity roadmap tailored to your portfolio.

Explore Growthifye's related capabilities

This analysis connects directly to our advisory practice: [End-of-life fleet audits]([coreservices/circularityinnovation/endoflifefleetaudits]) · [Second-life battery applications]([coreservices/circularityinnovation/secondlifebatteryapplications]) · [Module & battery



recycling](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/moduleandbatteryrecycling)
compliance](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/eprcompliance).

[EPR

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Sudarshan Karweer — Chief Executive Officer, Growthifye

Over 23 years in management consulting — concept to scale — building and scaling new-age digital and energy businesses. An EY alumnus, Sudarshan leads Growthifye's renewable energy & storage advisory, EPC, transmission engineering and green-financing practices for developers, utilities and C&I consumers across India.
Contact: info@growthifye.com · +91 84510 99371 · growthifye.com

This article is for general information only and does not constitute engineering, financial or legal advice. © Growthifye. Sharing with attribution is welcome.