Solar Module Recycling in India 2026: Economics, Compliance and Circular Value Chains

By Sudarshan Karweer · 01 September 2026 · growthifye.com

A 2026 practitioner guide to solar module recycling in India: waste volumes, policy, costs, logistics, recovery economics and bankable strategy.

India's utility-scale and rooftop solar fleet is entering a new circularity phase. While most panel waste volumes will peak in the 2030s, the commercial decisions that shape recycling economics are being made now in module procurement, O&M, repowering, insurance, lender due diligence and end-of-life contracting. For Indian C&I consumers, RE developers, lenders, utilities and policymakers, solar module recycling in 2026 is no longer a distant waste-management topic. It is a bankability, compliance and project-value issue.

The more immediate driver is not only end-of-life retirement after 25 years. It is early-life and mid-life waste: transport breakage, installation damage, storm losses, hotspot failures, PID and delamination claims, warranty replacements, repowering of underperforming assets, and rooftop system removals during factory upgrades or lease changes. As India's installed solar base expands and module formats become larger and heavier, the quantity of damaged and removed panels entering reverse channels is rising faster than many portfolios expected.

This article focuses on the 2026 Indian market reality: where the waste is coming from, what policy obligations matter, what recycling actually costs, what value can be recovered, and how project stakeholders should structure contracts and reporting to avoid leakage, compliance gaps and poor economics.

Why solar module recycling matters in India now

India has moved from a pure deployment discussion to a lifecycle management discussion. Utility-scale developers are managing fleets with mixed vintages, rooftop operators are replacing older low-wattage modules to free roof area, and lenders increasingly ask what happens to damaged inventory and decommissioned assets.

Three practical developments make module recycling a current-year priority:

- Repowering economics are improving. Replacing 330-400 W class modules with 540-600 W class products can materially improve output per acre or per square metre of roof in selective cases.
- Waste handling risks are becoming visible. Damaged glass, aluminium frames, junction boxes and polymer backsheets create HSE, storage and transport issues if sites hold scrap for long periods.
- Compliance scrutiny is increasing. [EPR compliance](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/eprcompliance) and auditable disposal pathways are moving from policy discussion into procurement and reporting expectations.

For C&I buyers with captive or group-captive projects, this also affects sustainability reporting. A project that claims clean-energy benefits but cannot account for damaged modules, packaging waste and eventual retirement will face tougher questions from boards, auditors and customers.

What waste streams actually reach recyclers

A common mistake in financial models is to assume recycling volumes arise only when projects complete their full technical life. In reality, recyclers and aggregators in India see several distinct waste streams, each with different contamination levels and commercial value.

- Transit and installation breakage: Usually the easiest stream to identify, often concentrated during commissioning months.
- Warranty returns and rejected lots: Can be contractually complex because title transfer and OEM liability are not always cleanly documented.
- O&M removals: Cracked, burnt, hotspot-affected, delaminated or underperforming modules removed over time.
- Storm and calamity losses: Hail, cyclone, flooding and wind incidents can create bulk scrap in short windows.
- Repowering waste: Functioning but low-efficiency modules replaced early for better generation density.
- Rooftop removals: Factory roof changes, tenant exits, roof repairs and relocation of systems.

The commercial route differs sharply across these categories. A cracked non-hazardous crystalline silicon panel with intact frame is handled differently from severely damaged units mixed with cables, MC4 connectors, mounting scrap and packaging residue. Developers that segregate at source usually get better realised value and lower downstream handling charges.

2026 policy and compliance landscape

In India, module recycling discussions sit at the intersection of e-waste governance, producer responsibility, hazardous-material handling rules where applicable, state pollution-control administration and contractual obligations under project documents. The exact classification pathway can vary by product type, composition and damage condition, but one thing is clear in 2026: informal disposal is not a defensible strategy for serious portfolios.

For producers, importers, brand owners and obligated entities, EPR compliance is becoming central to solar waste planning. Even where implementation details continue to evolve, the market is shifting toward auditable collection and recycling records, traceability of quantities and authorised-channel processing.

Developers and asset owners should pay attention to five compliance questions:

- Who legally owns the waste at each stage: site owner, EPC, O&M contractor, insurer, OEM or recycler?
- Is the recycler authorised for the relevant waste category and facility process?
- Are storage, packaging, manifests and dispatch records consistent with state requirements?
- Does the project have quantity reconciliation between installed modules, damaged inventory, replaced units and recycled output?
- Are sustainability disclosures aligned with actual recycler certificates and weighbridge data?

Lenders now increasingly look for evidence that decommissioning and disposal assumptions are not generic placeholders. They want to know whether end-of-life liabilities are understood, whether scrap value assumptions are realistic, and whether environmental liabilities from poor disposal could affect recoveries or sponsor reputation.

For many portfolios, the answer is to build a documented circularity protocol rather than handling each incident ad hoc. This is where Growthifye services such as EPR compliance and Circularity reporting can be useful in translating policy obligations into project-level operating procedures.

The economics: what recycling costs and what value is recoverable

The 2026 economics of solar module recycling in India are still driven more by cost minimisation and compliance than by high-value material recovery. This is not the same as lithium battery recycling, where

recovered metals can materially drive revenue. For mainstream crystalline silicon PV modules, the largest recoverable fractions by weight are typically glass and aluminium, with smaller value from copper and limited value from silicon, silver and polymers depending on process sophistication.

A practical industry view in 2026 is as follows:

- Collection and aggregation costs can range from roughly Rs 2 to Rs 8 per module depending on site density, packaging quality and whether modules are palletised.
- Transport economics are highly distance-sensitive. For full-truck movements over a few hundred kilometres, logistics can add around Rs 3 to Rs 10 per module, but costs can rise sharply for fragmented rooftop pickups or difficult-access sites.
- Dismantling and preprocessing charges vary depending on whether frames and junction boxes are manually removed at source or at facility.
- Formal recycling gate fees in India can sit anywhere from low single-digit rupees per kg to materially higher effective charges once sorting, breakage, contamination and certification are included.

For a typical 20-30 kg crystalline silicon module, an all-in formal handling and recycling cost in India in 2026 may often land in the approximate range of Rs 150 to Rs 400 per module for decentralised or mixed-quality scrap streams. Better economics are possible for large homogeneous lots located near authorised processors, especially if aluminium frames are intact and contamination is low. Poorly segregated waste can cost more.

Recovered value is usually modest on current technology pathways:

- Aluminium frame recovery provides the clearest economic offset.
- Glass is recoverable in large quantity but often low in realised value because of contamination and downcycling constraints.
- Copper from cables and junction boxes can contribute where segregation is good.
- Silver and silicon recovery economics depend on process sophistication and scale and are not yet a dependable revenue assumption for base-case Indian project models.

This means project teams should avoid overestimating scrap value. In many real transactions, the net equation is still disposal cost minus limited salvage, not a profit centre. However, that does not make recycling uneconomic. It reduces legal risk, avoids unsafe storage, supports insurance claims documentation, protects land use and strengthens offtaker and lender confidence.

Contract design: where portfolios lose money and control

The biggest losses in circularity often come from contracts, not from chemistry or machinery. Across Indian solar portfolios, recurring issues include unclear waste ownership, no service-level timelines for pickup, weak quantity reconciliation and recycler certificates that do not stand up to diligence.

A bankable module recycling contract stack should address:

- Waste definition: damaged, decommissioned, warranty-returned and repowering-removed modules should be separately defined.
- Chain of custody: site handover note, serial-number capture where feasible, loading records, transporter details, weighbridge slips and facility acknowledgement.
- Commercial model: fixed pickup fee, per-kg processing fee, revenue-share on recovered materials, or a hybrid structure.

- Quality specification: contamination thresholds, mixed-load rules, frame-on versus frame-off condition and acceptable packaging formats.
- Turnaround time: maximum days for pickup after notification, especially for insured loss events.
- Evidence package: recycling certificate, photos, quantity statement and disposal/recovery route declaration.
- Liability and indemnity: damage in transit, environmental breaches, unauthorised subcontracting and regulatory non-compliance.

For EPC and O&M contracts, it is also smart to predefine who bears the cost of disposal for installation breakage and warranty replacements. If not, damaged inventory can accumulate on site because every party disputes ownership and cost responsibility.

Developers managing multi-state portfolios should also consider a hub-and-spoke model for aggregation. Instead of sending small quantities from each project directly to a recycler, regional consolidation hubs can reduce logistics cost and improve batch quality. The model works especially well when paired with Reverse logistics planning and digital quantity tracking.

Repowering, residual value and lender implications

Module recycling is closely connected to repowering strategy. In 2026, some older Indian projects are evaluating selective repowering not because modules have fully failed, but because land productivity, inverter matching, degradation performance or balance-of-system redesign justify replacement.

From a lender perspective, three questions matter:

- Does repowering create incremental generation and tariff value net of capex and downtime?
- What residual value, if any, can be assigned to removed modules?
- Is disposal cost adequately provided for under downside cases?

In most conservative credit models, removed legacy modules should carry low residual value unless there is a clearly documented secondary market or refurbishment pathway. For heavily degraded, damaged or obsolete modules, assume recycling cost rather than meaningful resale proceeds. That improves realism in DSCR sensitivity analysis.

For C&I consumers, repowering can make sense when roof area is constrained and incremental self-consumption savings are high. In such cases, the economics of removed-module handling should be integrated into total project IRR. Too many models include new-module capex and generation uplift but ignore dismantling, storage, transport and compliant disposal of replaced panels.

Insurers are also paying closer attention. After weather events, claims settlement is smoother when asset owners can show pre-loss inventory, post-loss segregation, and dispatch to authorised recyclers. This is another reason to conduct periodic [End-of-life fleet audits](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/endoflifefleetaudits) even for operating fleets that are years away from full retirement.

A practical roadmap for developers, C&I buyers and policymakers

The right 2026 response is not to wait for waste mountains to appear. It is to build operational muscle now while volumes are still manageable.

For developers and independent power producers:

- Map installed fleet by module make, wattage class, age, location and failure history.
- Create standard SOPs for segregation, temporary storage and dispatch of damaged modules.
- Pre-qualify recyclers across key states instead of sourcing after an incident occurs.
- Build disposal and recovery clauses into EPC, O&M, insurance and repowering contracts.
- Track module removals in the same discipline used for spare parts and warranty claims.

For C&I energy consumers:

- Ask rooftop and open-access suppliers how damaged modules are handled and documented.
- Include circularity KPIs in procurement, especially for long-term sustainability-linked reporting.
- Evaluate whether repowering projects have realistic disposal assumptions.

For lenders and investors:

- Ask for asset-level assumptions on module breakage, replacement and end-of-life cost.
- Test residual-value assumptions in downside scenarios.
- Require evidence of authorised disposal channels for portfolio assets.

For policymakers:

- Improve clarity and consistency in module waste classification and EPR execution pathways.
- Support formal collection and preprocessing networks to reduce informal leakage.
- Encourage better data reporting on damaged, replaced and recycled solar equipment.
- Align standards, traceability and digital reporting so lenders and regulators can rely on common evidence formats.

Over time, India may move toward higher-value recovery as recycling technology improves, volumes increase and material separation becomes more efficient. But the near-term opportunity is simpler: reduce unmanaged waste, improve traceability, protect project value and create a credible circular channel for one of the country’s fastest-growing infrastructure assets.

Solar module recycling in India in 2026 is therefore not just a waste question. It is a project-development, asset-management and financing discipline. Portfolios that act early will have cleaner sites, lower compliance risk, better insurer engagement and stronger lender confidence. Portfolios that delay will likely face higher logistics costs, poorer documentation and weaker recovery outcomes when volumes rise.

If your organisation is planning repowering, managing damaged panel inventory, or building a circularity framework across solar and storage assets, contact Growthifye’s advisory desk for practical support on [Module & battery recycling](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/moduleandbatteryrecycling), EPR compliance and portfolio-level circularity strategy.

Explore Growthifye's related capabilities

This analysis connects directly to our advisory practice: [End-of-life fleet audits](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/endoflifefleetaudits) · [Second-life battery applications](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/secondlifebatteryapplications) · [Module & battery recycling](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/moduleandbatteryrecycling) · [EPR compliance](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/eprcompliance).

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Sudarshan Karweer — Chief Executive Officer, Growthifye

Over 23 years in management consulting — concept to scale — building and scaling new-age digital and energy businesses. An EY alumnus, Sudarshan leads Growthifye's renewable energy & storage advisory, EPC, transmission engineering and green-financing practices for developers, utilities and C&I consumers across India.
Contact: info@growthifye.com · +91 84510 99371 · growthifye.com