SCADA, ADMS and FLISR for Indian DISCOMs: 2026 Utility Automation Guide

By Sudarshan Karweer · 25 August 2026 · growthifye.com

A 2026 India-focused guide to SCADA, ADMS and FLISR for DISCOMs, C&I users and lenders: costs, standards, RDSS fit and loss reduction.

India's utility digitalisation conversation is often reduced to smart meters. That is too narrow. By 2026, the more consequential operational shift for distribution utilities is the move from data collection to grid intelligence: SCADA, ADMS, FLISR, IEC 61850-based substation automation, outage management integration and, increasingly, DER-aware control. For Indian DISCOMs facing high AT&C losses, rising peak demand, rooftop solar growth, feeder congestion and regulator pressure on reliability, automation is no longer an optional IT layer. It is core network infrastructure.

For C&I consumers, RE developers, lenders and policymakers, the relevance is immediate. Better utility automation improves outage duration, shortens restoration times, enables cleaner open-access scheduling, reduces technical losses, improves hosting capacity for rooftop and group captive projects, and strengthens the bankability of distribution-linked investments. This article focuses on a topic clearly distinct from smart metering: how SCADA, ADMS and FLISR are being deployed in India in 2026, what they cost, which standards matter, where the business case is strongest, and how to sequence implementation under RDSS and related programmes.

Why SCADA and ADMS matter more in 2026 than they did in 2022

Between 2022 and 2026, several structural changes have increased the value of utility automation in India.

- Urban and peri-urban feeders are seeing sharper evening ramps due to cooling loads and mixed rooftop solar penetration.
- More C&I consumers are procuring power through open access, banking arrangements and captive structures, increasing the need for accurate feeder visibility.
- State regulators are placing more emphasis on reliability metrics such as SAIDI and SAIFI, even where formal enforcement remains uneven.
- Substations and ring-main units are becoming more instrumented, but many utilities still lack central applications that convert field data into dispatch and restoration decisions.
- Cybersecurity expectations have risen, particularly for utility control systems connected to enterprise networks and cloud analytics layers.

A conventional SCADA deployment gives operators situational awareness: breaker status, analog telemetry, alarms, trends and basic remote control. An ADMS adds network applications: topology processing, state estimation, load flow, volt/VAR optimisation, switching management, outage management integration and increasingly FLISR logic. FLISR, or Fault Location, Isolation and Service Restoration, automates a high-value use case: identify the faulted section, isolate it, and restore unaffected customers through alternate supply paths where the network configuration allows.

For Indian DISCOMs, this matters because a large share of customer pain and utility cost does not arise from annual energy availability alone, but from local interruptions on 11 kV networks, delayed fault section identification, poor switching discipline and limited feeder-level visibility. In cities with dense underground networks, an advanced SCADA-ADMS stack can significantly reduce restoration time. In mixed urban-rural

networks, even partial automation on high-load feeders can yield attractive returns.

Where the business case is strongest: urban feeders, industrial clusters and high-loss pockets

Not every feeder should be automated first. The best results in India typically come from a prioritised rollout.

A practical 2026 segmentation framework is:

- Tier 1: Urban 33/11 kV substations feeding dense commercial and industrial loads
- Tier 2: Feeders with annual interruption complaints, high revenue per km and switching complexity
- Tier 3: Industrial estates, data-centre corridors, metro rail supply zones and export manufacturing clusters
- Tier 4: High-loss feeders where technical losses can be cut through balancing, voltage optimisation and better loading visibility
- Tier 5: Renewable-heavy pockets with rooftop solar backfeed or upcoming distributed storage

In Indian conditions, the benefit stack usually includes:

- Reduced outage duration and truck rolls
- Lower technical losses from better network balancing and voltage control
- Faster fault localisation, especially where field staff currently rely on manual patrols
- Improved power quality for high-value consumers
- Better utilisation of existing network capacity, delaying capex on augmentation
- Improved auditability of switching operations and protection events

For C&I consumers, the value shows up in fewer production interruptions and reduced genset dependence. Even a one-hour avoided outage can be material for pharmaceuticals, cold chain, metals processing, auto ancillaries and IT campuses. Where industrial tariffs are in the range of Rs 7 to Rs 10 per kWh and backup diesel generation effectively costs Rs 18 to Rs 28 per kWh depending on load factor and fuel prices, improved utility reliability creates measurable operating savings.

For utilities, the financial case should not be framed only as reliability. In many circles, loss reduction of 0.5% to 1.5% on selected networks, combined with reduced O&M dispatch costs and improved collection discipline through cleaner outage-event records, can justify automation faster than a broad system-wide rollout.

SCADA vs ADMS vs FLISR: what each layer actually does

A recurring problem in Indian tenders is technology bundling without operational clarity. The three layers should be distinguished.

SCADA typically includes:

- RTUs, gateway devices and communication links
- Real-time telemetry from substations and field devices
- Alarm management and event historian
- Supervisory control of breakers, isolators and capacitor banks
- Mimic displays, trends and operator workstations

ADMS typically adds:

- Distribution network model and topology processor
- State estimation and load flow
- Volt/VAR optimisation and conservation voltage reduction where appropriate
- Switching schedule management and safety tagging workflows
- Short-circuit and contingency analysis
- Outage management integration and crew visibility
- Hosting capacity and DER-awareness in advanced deployments

FLISR adds a specific automation function:

- Fault passage indicator or protection event detection
- Automatic identification of the likely faulted section
- Switching sequence to isolate the faulted segment
- Restoration of healthy downstream segments via alternate tie switches
- Logging, operator override and post-event analysis

The key operational point is this: SCADA without a reasonably accurate network model becomes a monitoring tool rather than an optimisation tool. ADMS without field automation becomes an expensive visualisation platform. FLISR without reliable sectionalising switches, communications and protection coordination can create nuisance operations. Indian utilities should therefore sequence investments around field readiness, not only software procurement.

Costs, payback and procurement structures in India

Costs vary widely by network density, communication backbone, legacy systems and degree of field automation. However, decision-makers need order-of-magnitude numbers.

Indicative 2026 India benchmarks for utility automation programmes are:

- 33/11 kV substation automation retrofit: roughly Rs 25 lakh to Rs 90 lakh per substation, depending on bay count, protection replacement scope and communication integration
- New digital substation elements with IEC 61850 architecture: materially higher, often justified in new builds rather than retrofits
- Feeder remote terminal and switch automation at 11 kV level: roughly Rs 8 lakh to Rs 30 lakh per location depending on switchgear, panel works and communications
- Control centre SCADA-ADMS platform for a medium-sized city utility deployment: often in the range of Rs 15 crore to Rs 60 crore including licences, integration, servers, cybersecurity and training
- FLISR on selected urban rings: usually economical only where alternate supply paths and remotely operable switching points already exist or are built simultaneously

Typical economic outcomes seen in well-scoped deployments include:

- Restoration time reductions of 20% to 60% on automated corridors
- Technical loss reduction of 0.3% to 1.2% in targeted areas, occasionally higher where balancing and overloading were acute
- O&M cost savings from fewer patrols and truck rolls
- Deferred capex where load flow visibility enables better asset utilisation

Simple payback can be 3 to 7 years on high-value urban networks, while broad rural deployments can have weaker economics unless bundled with reliability mandates, agricultural feeder segregation benefits or grant support. This is why procurement should be feeder-cluster based, not politically spread thin across all circles.

Under RDSS, many utilities have focused first on metering and distribution infrastructure upgrades. In 2026, the stronger proposals are those that integrate automation into measurable loss-reduction and reliability plans rather than treating SCADA as a standalone IT package. Lenders and multilateral institutions also prefer this structure because the KPI chain is clearer: outage reduction, loss reduction, asset uptime, collection improvement and consumer service metrics.

Standards and architecture: why IEC 61850 and interoperability matter

India has lived for years with siloed substation systems, proprietary protocols and vendor lock-in. As utilities scale automation, interoperability is becoming a board-level issue.

IEC 61850 matters because it enables a standardised communication framework for substation automation, including:

- Device interoperability across protection relays, bay controllers and HMIs
- Faster engineering and configuration compared with bespoke point mapping approaches
- Peer-to-peer communication such as GOOSE messaging for time-critical applications
- Better lifecycle maintainability when assets are expanded or replaced

That said, not every substation requires a full digital-substation philosophy. In many Indian retrofits, the practical approach is hybrid:

- IEC 61850 within the substation for new protection and bay control layers
- Protocol gateways for legacy devices
- DNP3, IEC 60870-5-104 or other utility-grade protocols for control-centre integration where already standardised
- Secure time synchronisation, event logging and historian design

Architectural choices that deserve attention in 2026 include:

- Whether the ADMS is on-premise, private cloud or hybrid
- How OMS, GIS, meter data systems and workforce management tools are integrated
- Cybersecurity zoning between OT and IT networks
- Redundancy requirements for control centres and communication paths
- Data governance, especially for event records used in regulatory reporting and vendor SLAs

Utilities should insist on open APIs, model portability, clear source-of-truth definitions for network topology and rigorous site acceptance testing. Too many legacy deployments underperformed because GIS, outage systems and SCADA point databases never aligned.

FLISR in Indian networks: where it works and where it disappoints

FLISR is attractive in presentations because the use case is easy to explain. In practice, its performance depends heavily on network topology.

FLISR works best when:

- The feeder has sectionalising points and normally open ties that can restore healthy sections

- Switches are remotely operable and communications are reliable
- Protection coordination is mapped and validated
- The feeder model is current and switching states are trustworthy
- Operators and field crews follow disciplined switching procedures

It disappoints when:

- Networks are radial with no practical alternate source
- Field switches are manual or frequently unavailable
- As-built records are poor
- Communication latency is inconsistent
- Protection settings were never updated after network changes

In Indian cities with ring-fed 11 kV systems, FLISR can produce visible reliability gains. In largely radial semi-urban networks, a lighter strategy may be better: fault indicators, remote visibility at key nodes and standardised restoration playbooks, with selective automation only where load value justifies it.

A sensible 2026 deployment sequence is:

- Clean and validate the network model
- Automate substations and critical field switches
- Integrate protection event data and outage workflows
- Pilot FLISR on 2 to 5 high-value feeder groups
- Measure restoration time and failed-operation rates for at least one seasonal cycle
- Scale only after protection and communications performance is proven

For policymakers, this is important. Automation grants or approvals should be linked to network readiness criteria, not only capex volume. Otherwise, utilities may procure software features that remain unused.

DER, rooftop solar and the next step: ADMS to DERMS pathway

India’s distribution grid is no longer one-directional. By 2026, rooftop solar, behind-the-meter storage pilots, EV charging clusters and industrial demand flexibility are beginning to affect local feeder behaviour, especially in commercial zones and affluent urban circuits.

An ADMS provides the operational base for this transition. A DERMS, or distributed energy resource management system, becomes relevant when the utility needs to do more than observe DER. It must forecast, dispatch, curtail selectively, manage export limits or coordinate flexible loads.

For most Indian DISCOMs today, full DERMS deployment across entire territories is premature. But selected use cases are becoming real:

- Managing reverse power flow risks on rooftop-solar-heavy feeders
- Coordinating battery storage attached to critical commercial feeders
- Monitoring EV fast-charging hubs that can create local peaks
- Enabling non-wire alternatives in congested urban pockets

This matters to RE developers and C&I consumers because interconnection timelines and export approvals increasingly depend on feeder visibility and hosting capacity analysis. A utility with a functioning network model, substation telemetry and feeder analytics can process distributed generation applications more

rationally than one operating through static rules and manual studies alone.

The commercial implication is straightforward: better automation can reduce curtailment risk, shorten technical approval cycles and improve confidence in open-access or behind-the-meter project cash flows. Lenders should therefore examine not just consumer credit quality and PPA structure, but also local utility automation maturity where network dependence is high.

What DISCOMs, lenders and large power users should do in 2026

For DISCOMs:

- Prioritise feeder clusters with high revenue density, outage costs and switching complexity
- Build a clean GIS-network-SCADA data model before scaling ADMS applications
- Procure interoperability, cybersecurity and training, not just software licences
- Link vendor payments to tested operational KPIs such as restoration time reduction and telemetry availability
- Integrate automation planning with RDSS loss-reduction and reliability targets

For lenders and development financiers:

- Underwrite automation as performance infrastructure, not generic IT
- Demand baseline and post-implementation KPIs at feeder and substation level
- Scrutinise integration risk across GIS, OMS, meter data and legacy protection systems
- Prefer phased programmes with measurable pilot results over one-shot state-wide digitisation claims

For C&I consumers and RE developers:

- Map supply reliability at feeder level, not only DISCOM-wide averages
- Assess whether target substations and feeders have remote monitoring and switching capabilities
- Factor utility automation maturity into site selection for energy-intensive operations
- In rooftop, storage and open-access planning, engage early on feeder constraints and likely interconnection requirements

India’s utility modernisation journey in 2026 is entering a more operationally serious phase. Smart meters provide data at the edge, but SCADA, ADMS and FLISR determine whether a DISCOM can actually run a more reliable, flexible and efficient distribution network. The winners will not be the utilities that procure the most software, but those that automate the right feeders, enforce data quality, align protection and communications, and tie capital spending to measurable field outcomes.

If your organisation is evaluating utility automation, RDSS-aligned digitalisation, substation and feeder control architecture, or lender-grade diligence on DISCOM modernisation, contact Growthifye’s advisory desk for a practical assessment and implementation roadmap.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Sudarshan Karweer — Chief Executive Officer, Growthifye

Over 23 years in management consulting — concept to scale — building and scaling new-age digital and energy businesses. An EY alumnus, Sudarshan leads Growthifye's renewable energy & storage advisory, EPC, transmission engineering and green-financing practices for developers, utilities and C&I consumers across India.
Contact: info@growthifye.com · +91 84510 99371 · growthifye.com