

Open Access BESS in India 2026: Sizing, Charges, Dispatch and Bankability

By Sudarshan Karweer · 25 August 2026 · growthifye.com

A practical 2026 guide to open access BESS in India: sizing, charges, dispatch logic, risks and bankable structures for C&I and developers.

India's battery energy storage system market is now moving beyond standalone tenders and into a more commercially complex space: open access BESS attached to captive, group captive and third-party renewable supply for commercial and industrial consumers. For many C&I buyers, developers and lenders, the question in 2026 is no longer whether storage matters, but how to size it correctly, how the charge stack really works, and whether an open access structure can remain bankable after wheeling losses, banking limits, ISTS/STS treatment, scheduling rules and state-specific surcharges are applied.

This is where many projects go wrong. Storage is often modelled as a generic add-on to solar or wind, with round-trip efficiency and capex entered into a spreadsheet, but without a realistic dispatch strategy, tariff stack or state-level charge treatment. The result is a BESS that looks attractive in a pitch deck and struggles in actual settlement.

For Indian C&I consumers, utilities, developers and lenders, open access BESS needs to be assessed as a delivered-power asset, not just an installed-capacity asset. The bankable question is simple: after all energy charges, losses, degradation, replacement assumptions and scheduling constraints, does the battery reduce the delivered cost of usable power or improve reliability enough to justify the premium?

Why open access BESS is becoming relevant in 2026

Three market shifts are driving interest in open access BESS across India.

- First, many large C&I consumers have already captured the easy savings from plain-vanilla solar open access and now face residual evening demand, demand-charge exposure, and operational issues during renewable variability.
- Second, several states have tightened banking provisions, narrowed settlement flexibility or changed surcharge treatment, making raw oversizing of solar or wind less efficient than before.
- Third, RTC and FDRE procurement has improved market understanding of firmness, but many mid-sized C&I consumers still need customised hourly shaping rather than utility-style round-the-clock contracts.

In this environment, BESS can create value in at least five open access use cases.

- Solar shifting from afternoon surplus to evening plant load.
- Wind smoothing to reduce short-term drawal volatility.
- Hybrid shaping to support contracted demand blocks.
- Peak demand optimisation behind the meter in combination with open access procurement.
- Backup and reliability support where diesel replacement economics are strong.

However, not every use case is economic. A battery only works when it is designed around a defined value stack. In India, the highest-value open access cases in 2026 are usually not merchant arbitrage. They are structured applications where storage avoids expensive marginal power, reduces peak procurement cost, protects process loads, or allows a buyer to increase renewable penetration without destabilising operations.

The first sizing mistake: designing for energy instead of delivered load shape

Most initial BESS concepts begin with a simple question: how many MWh of storage should we add to a solar or wind contract? In practice, that is the wrong starting point.

The correct starting point is the buyer's 15-minute or 30-minute load profile, aligned against:

- contracted open access generation profile
- state scheduling and settlement rules
- wheeling and transmission losses
- banking availability and settlement price
- demand charge structure from the DISCOM
- backup energy cost, including HT tariff and diesel where relevant

For a typical industrial buyer in India, a useful first screen is not annual renewable penetration but the shape of residual demand after renewable injection. If the residual evening demand is steep and expensive, a 1 to 2 cycle-per-day battery may make sense. If the residual load is flat and DISCOM supply is already moderately priced, the battery often struggles.

As a practical 2026 rule of thumb:

- 1-hour BESS tends to fit demand smoothing, ramp control and some peak clipping.
- 2-hour BESS is often the minimum bankable duration for meaningful solar shifting in C&I open access cases.
- 3 to 4-hour BESS may be justified where evening tariffs, backup replacement value or high renewable penetration create a strong premium for firm power.

For example, consider a 20 MW industrial load in a high-tariff state with 12 MW solar open access and 8 MW wind open access. If the consumer sees a recurring 6 pm to 10 pm deficit of 5 to 7 MW after renewable injection, a 10 MW/20 MWh battery may provide only partial shaping, while 10 MW/30 MWh or 12 MW/24 MWh may better match the economic target depending on whether the objective is cost minimisation, maximum renewable utilisation or outage resilience.

That decision cannot be made from annual CUF averages. It requires interval-wise dispatch modelling with losses and curtailment logic.

What economics actually work for open access BESS in India

By 2026, turnkey utility-scale BESS pricing in India has improved materially versus 2023-24, but economics still depend more on utilisation quality than on sticker capex.

A broad market range seen in serious evaluations is:

- AC-side installed BESS capex: roughly Rs 4.8 crore to Rs 6.8 crore per MWh depending on duration, cell chemistry, integration scope, augmentation plan, fire systems, OEM bankability and grid interface complexity.
- Round-trip efficiency at beginning of life: typically 85% to 90% at system level depending on operating window and auxiliary load assumptions.
- Annual degradation and augmentation assumptions: highly structure-specific, but any serious model should include usable capacity decline and replacement or augmentation capex over the project life.
- Fixed O&M: often 1.5% to 2.5% of capex, depending on LTSA and performance guarantees.

For C&I open access applications, the implied levelised storage adder can still be significant if the battery is underutilised. In many real projects, the difference between an economic and non-economic BESS is not 10% lower capex; it is whether the battery is cycled productively at the right hours.

Where can the value come from?

- Avoided evening HT power purchase at Rs 7 to Rs 10 per kWh in some states and consumer categories.
- Reduced diesel generation that may effectively cost Rs 16 to Rs 24 per kWh after fuel, maintenance and logistics.
- Better use of otherwise spilled or low-value renewable generation.
- Demand charge optimisation where battery discharge can reduce monthly peaks.
- Reliability value for process industries where one disturbance can cost much more than battery throughput cost.

Where does value get overstated?

- Assuming every stored unit displaces the highest tariff power.
- Ignoring charging losses plus network losses on open access energy.
- Ignoring battery degradation under frequent cycling.
- Assuming unrestricted banking or ideal settlement.
- Counting the same MWh twice across peak shaving, arbitrage and backup applications.

As a practical benchmark, open access BESS is most attractive where the all-in avoided marginal power cost is materially above the effective delivered cost of stored energy. If stored energy lands near Rs 6.5 to Rs 8.5 per kWh and it displaces grid or backup power above that level consistently, the case can work. If it is merely replacing reasonably priced day-time industrial supply, it usually will not.

Charges, losses and policy friction: the real India-specific challenge

In India, open access storage economics are shaped by regulation as much as by electrochemistry. This is why state-by-state analysis is essential.

The key issues include:

- Whether charging energy for the BESS is treated as consumption attracting cross-subsidy surcharge, additional surcharge or other network charges.
- Whether co-located charging from a dedicated open access renewable source is treated differently from grid charging.
- How wheeling losses and transmission losses are applied on charge and discharge pathways.
- Whether banking is allowed, limited by time-of-day, or settled at a discount.
- How scheduling deviations are settled for a renewable-plus-storage combination.
- Whether the battery is behind the same connection point as the load, at the generator end, or as a separate network asset.

This is the difference between a technically valid project and an investable project.

For example, a battery charged from open access solar at the generator end may face one set of settlement implications, while a battery charged at the consumer end from grid-imported power may face another. In some structures, charging and discharging can unintentionally create a double-charge effect through network

losses or surcharges if the architecture is not thought through early.

Similarly, state open access rules, electricity duty treatment and banking provisions can change the dispatch merit order. In a state with weak banking terms, battery shifting may become more valuable because it preserves renewable energy value intraday. In a state with relatively consumer-friendly banking and lower evening tariffs, the storage case may weaken.

From a lender's perspective, these are not secondary issues. They are core revenue-risk items. Any debt-backed open access BESS project should have a legal-regulatory note mapping charge applicability, policy change sensitivity and settlement mechanics before term sheet finalisation.

Dispatch strategy matters more than headline battery duration

A battery that is technically capable of two hours can deliver very different economics depending on dispatch logic. In India, the strongest open access BESS models in 2026 use priority-based dispatch rather than simplistic daily full cycling assumptions.

A practical dispatch stack may look like this:

- First priority: maintain reliability or process-critical reserve.
- Second priority: reduce the highest-cost evening imports.
- Third priority: clip demand peaks where demand charges are material.
- Fourth priority: absorb renewable surplus that would otherwise be spilled or poorly settled.
- Fifth priority: optional market-linked optimisation, if regulations and metering architecture support it.

This matters because battery wear has a cost. If the battery is used for low-value arbitrage in one block, it may not be available for a high-value discharge later. Dispatch should therefore be built around opportunity cost.

For industrial customers with variable operations, the dispatch engine should also reflect production schedules, shutdown periods, maintenance windows and seasonal tariff changes. A steel reroller, data centre, cold chain facility and chemical plant should not be using the same battery dispatch assumptions.

Another mistake is to oversize power rating but undersize energy, or vice versa.

- Too much MW and too little MWh means the battery empties quickly and fails to cover the actual expensive window.
- Too much MWh and too little MW means the battery cannot shave the sharp peak that drives value.

The right design typically emerges from hourly and sub-hourly simulation over at least one year of load and generation data, with sensitivity on degradation, outage events, policy changes and tariff revisions.

What developers and lenders should test before calling a project bankable

Open access BESS can be financeable, but only if the contract and technical structure are aligned. The bankability framework in 2026 should include at least the following checks.

- Counterparty quality: Is the C&I buyer investment-grade in practical terms, even if unrated? What is the payment history and sector stress level?
- Contract design: Is there a fixed capacity payment, energy payment, savings-share model, or integrated renewable supply tariff?

- Dispatch rights: Who controls charging and discharging decisions, and who bears underperformance risk?
- Performance guarantees: Are usable capacity, availability and round-trip efficiency contractually backed?
- Augmentation plan: Is future cell augmentation budgeted and technically specified?
- Regulatory treatment: Are open access charges, surcharge exposure and metering arrangements clearly documented?
- Curtailment and outage logic: What happens if renewable charging energy is unavailable or grid conditions restrict dispatch?
- End-of-life assumptions: Is residual value ignored, conservatively estimated, or overstated?

For lenders, one major issue is revenue concentration. If the battery's economics depend on a very narrow evening spread or on a regulatory benefit that could change, leverage should be conservative. If the battery's value is diversified across firming, demand management, backup replacement and renewable utilisation improvement, the case is stronger.

For developers, another issue is EPC interface risk. The battery, inverter, EMS, SCADA, renewable plant and interconnection package must function as a coordinated system. Many underperforming projects are not cell problems; they are controls and integration problems.

Which open access BESS use cases are strongest in 2026

Based on current market conditions, the most compelling Indian use cases are usually the following.

- High-tariff C&I consumers with significant evening demand and clear savings against marginal HT import.
- Industrial sites using diesel or expensive gas-based backup where battery-backed renewable shaping can reduce running hours.
- Hybrid open access portfolios where BESS can increase effective renewable consumption and lower curtailment or mismatch.
- Multi-site C&I groups where central advisory can optimise contract demand, open access scheduling and storage deployment together.
- Developers structuring premium “shaped renewable power” products rather than plain energy supply.

The weakest use cases are usually:

- Projects relying only on generic energy arbitrage without a strong spread.
- Batteries added as a marketing feature to an already low-cost solar open access deal.
- Structures with unresolved charge treatment or ambiguous metering.
- Projects where the buyer's load profile does not have a meaningful evening or peak-cost problem.

In other words, open access BESS in India is not a universal add-on. It is a precision tool. When properly designed, it can improve renewable penetration, manage risk and reduce delivered power cost. When badly designed, it becomes an expensive buffer with weak real-world utilisation.

The advisory takeaway for 2026

The Indian market now needs fewer generic BESS narratives and more project-specific modelling. For open access applications, the winning approach is to integrate four workstreams from day one: load-shape

analytics, regulatory charge mapping, battery dispatch optimisation and bankable commercial structuring.

That means sizing the BESS on interval data, not annual averages; valuing stored energy on delivered savings, not theoretical arbitrage; checking charge applicability before finalising the configuration; and documenting dispatch, augmentation and performance risk clearly enough for lenders and investment committees.

For C&I buyers, the question is not “should we add storage?” but “which storage configuration reduces our delivered energy cost and operating risk after all charges and losses?” For developers, the question is whether they are selling MW and MWh, or a firm and investable power product. For lenders and utilities, the question is whether the asset’s cash flow logic survives real settlement conditions.

If you are evaluating an open access BESS, hybrid RTC-style supply structure, or storage-linked C&I renewable strategy in India, contact Growthifye’s advisory desk for project-specific sizing, economics, regulatory review and bankability assessment.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Sudarshan Karweer — Chief Executive Officer, Growthifye

Over 23 years in management consulting — concept to scale — building and scaling new-age digital and energy businesses.

An EY alumnus, Sudarshan leads Growthifye’s renewable energy & storage advisory, EPC, transmission engineering and green-financing practices for developers, utilities and C&I consumers across India.

Contact: info@growthifye.com · +91 99676 45323 · growthifye.com

This article is for general information only and does not constitute engineering, financial or legal advice. © Growthifye. Sharing with attribution is welcome.