Indian C&I Renewable Open Access with Storage 2026: Sizing, Charges and Bankability

By Sudarshan Karweer · 03 September 2026 · growthifye.com

A practical 2026 guide to C&I renewable open access with storage in India: sizing, wheeling charges, banking limits, tariffs and lender concerns.

India's C&I open-access market is entering a more complex phase in 2026. For large power consumers, the easy arbitrage from plain-vanilla solar and wind open access has narrowed in many states. Banking restrictions are tighter, cross-subsidy surcharges remain material, scheduling discipline matters more, and evening demand has become costlier under time-of-day tariffs. In that context, renewable open access paired with battery storage is shifting from a niche option to a strategic procurement tool.

This article looks specifically at renewable open access with storage for Indian commercial and industrial consumers, developers, lenders, utilities and policymakers. The focus is practical: how to size storage, where the economics work, what charges matter, how contracts are being structured, and what makes these projects bankable in 2026.

Why C&I open access needs a new playbook in 2026

For most of the last decade, C&I renewable procurement in India was driven by a straightforward proposition: replace expensive grid power with lower-cost solar or wind delivered through captive, group captive or third-party open access. That proposition still exists, but the margin for error is thinner.

Three changes explain why.

- First, state regulators and discoms have tightened the effective economics of open access through higher or less predictable network and regulatory charges.
- Second, banking provisions have become less generous in several states, especially for monthly banking, peak-hour offsets and carry-forward rules.
- Third, many C&I consumers now care less about annual renewable percentage and more about hourly cost optimisation, evening peak management, and operational resilience.

A plain solar open-access project that looked excellent at an all-in delivered cost of Rs 4.0-4.8/kWh can lose some of its edge when the consumer's highest avoided grid tariff is during non-solar hours. If the consumer is paying Rs 9-12/kWh during evening peak or under time-of-day slabs, but the renewable supply is concentrated from 9 am to 4 pm, the savings stack is incomplete.

That is the gap storage can address.

Where storage adds value in C&I open access

In the Indian C&I context, battery energy storage is not usually justified by a single revenue stream. The value comes from stacking operational and tariff benefits.

The most common use cases in 2026 are:

- Solar energy time-shifting from midday to evening demand blocks
- Peak-demand shaving to reduce maximum demand charges
- Reduction in expensive grid draw during time-of-day peak windows

- Better utilisation of contracted open-access renewable energy that would otherwise be curtailed or commercially stranded
- Backup support for critical industrial processes where short interruptions are costly
- Improved matching under captive and group captive structures where generation-consumption timing matters commercially

In practical terms, many consumers are not trying to reach 24x7 renewable matching. They are trying to reduce the most expensive 2-5 hours of grid consumption every day.

That difference matters for sizing. A C&I project does not always need a 4-hour battery. In many cases, a 1.5-hour to 2-hour system gives the best economic outcome, especially where the objective is evening ramp support rather than overnight supply.

How developers are sizing BESS for C&I consumers

In 2026, the starting point for sizing is the consumer's 15-minute load curve, not the renewable developer's preference or a generic storage ratio. A robust sizing exercise should use at least 12 months of interval consumption data, tariff slabs, contracted demand, outage profile, renewable generation simulation and banking rules in the relevant state.

As a market rule of thumb:

- Solar-only OA plus BESS for tariff arbitrage often lands around 15-30% of solar AC capacity, with 1.5-2.5 hours duration
- Wind-solar hybrid OA plus BESS may need a smaller battery relative to renewable MW because the generation profile is already broader
- Projects focused on demand-charge reduction may use a smaller battery in MWh terms but higher power in MW terms
- Facilities with process sensitivity, such as electronics, pharma, data-linked manufacturing and continuous-process plants, may value faster response and a higher C-rate configuration

A useful example:

Assume a manufacturing plant with 20 MW peak load, day-average load of 14 MW and evening peak tariff exposure from 6 pm to 10 pm. The plant signs up for 25 MW AC solar open access in a high-irradiation state. Without storage, a large share of avoided energy cost is captured during solar hours, but evening grid draw remains high. A 10 MW/20 MWh battery can shift part of midday surplus into the early evening block. If the delivered midday renewable cost is about Rs 4.2/kWh and the avoided evening grid tariff is Rs 10.5/kWh, the gross arbitrage spread is substantial even before considering demand-charge reduction.

However, that headline spread is not the project IRR. One must deduct storage losses, battery degradation, augmentation capex, financing cost, replacement reserve assumptions, and all state-level open-access charges.

The numbers that matter: tariffs, capex and delivered cost

Battery pricing has continued to improve, but not uniformly across chemistries, duty structures, inverter configurations and EPC scopes. In the Indian market in 2026, utility-scale and large behind-the-meter C&I battery systems commonly show broad turnkey capex ranges such as:

- Rs 4.5-5.8 crore/MW for a 2-hour lithium-ion system, depending on duration, OEM bankability, fire protection, SCADA, augmentation philosophy and site conditions

- On an energy basis, roughly Rs 2.2-2.9 crore/MWh for many 2-hour configurations

These are broad market ranges, not bankable quotations. Imported content assumptions, GST treatment, transformer scope, evacuation, and integration with existing substations can move the number materially.

On the renewable side, C&I open-access delivered tariffs still vary widely by state and structure. For strong solar resource states, all-in delivered solar open-access cost may still sit around Rs 4.0-5.0/kWh for some consumers after considering network charges and OA structure. Wind-solar hybrid delivered costs often sit higher, but they offer improved profile value. In tougher states, once wheeling charges, banking charges, cross-subsidy surcharge, additional surcharge and losses are fully loaded, the apparent headline generation tariff can become misleading.

That is why practitioners should model at least four layers of economics:

- Generator-side tariff or LCOE
- Delivered cost at consumer meter after losses and charges
- Hourly avoided-cost value versus consumer grid tariff
- Project-level equity IRR and DSCR after financing and degradation assumptions

For many C&I customers, the storage decision works only if the avoided evening tariff plus demand-charge benefit exceeds the levelised cost of stored energy by a healthy margin.

A simplified 2026 range for levelised discharged energy from a 2-hour battery in India, depending on utilisation, financing and augmentation, can often land around Rs 5.5-8.0/kWh for the storage component alone. If charging energy comes from solar open access delivered at Rs 4.2/kWh, the fully loaded discharged cost may reach roughly Rs 9-12/kWh depending on cycle frequency and assumptions. That may still work if the offset grid tariff in peak periods is above that level, and if demand-charge savings add another meaningful layer of value.

This is exactly why hourly dispatch modelling matters. A battery that cycles 250-320 useful times per year under a smart dispatch strategy may outperform an aggressively sized battery that is underutilised.

State-level charges and policy friction can make or break the case

The economics of open access with storage in India are fundamentally state-specific. Two projects with identical equipment can have very different outcomes based on where the offtaker is located and how the transaction is structured.

Developers and consumers should scrutinise:

- Cross-subsidy surcharge applicability and trajectory
- Additional surcharge treatment
- Wheeling and transmission charges
- Banking rules, including monthly settlement and peak-hour restrictions
- Time-of-day energy accounting
- Captive and group captive compliance requirements
- Deemed demand reduction treatment, if any, under state tariff orders
- Forecasting, scheduling and deviation obligations where relevant

For example, monthly banking curbs reduce the value of excess solar generation and increase the case for short-duration storage. Conversely, if a consumer has favourable same-day adjustment and strong daytime

load, the incremental battery case may weaken.

Some state markets remain attractive for solar-plus-storage open access because the industrial retail tariff spread versus renewable supply cost is still large. In others, the deal works only for consumers with high evening tariffs, high demand charges or strong ESG-linked procurement drivers.

Policymakers should note an important system implication here. If state-level rules over-penalise banking while also keeping open-access charges elevated, the market will naturally shift toward more physically balanced solutions such as hybrids and storage. That can support grid efficiency, but only if interconnection approvals, metering treatment and dispatch frameworks keep pace.

Contract structuring and lender concerns

In 2026, lenders are far more comfortable with renewable open-access assets than with merchant storage risk. Therefore, a bankable C&I solar-plus-storage or hybrid-plus-storage structure usually needs contractual clarity on who controls the battery, what value streams are contracted, and what operational rights sit with the offtaker.

The main structures emerging in the market include:

- Renewable PPA plus fixed storage service fee
- Integrated energy supply agreement with time-block delivery commitments
- Captive or group captive project where storage is part of common infrastructure
- Behind-the-meter battery financed separately from the open-access generating asset

Lenders will focus on several issues:

- Revenue certainty: Is the battery paid through a fixed capacity-style fee, shared savings, or merchant arbitrage?
- Dispatch control: Can the developer operate the battery to maximise economic value, or does the offtaker have priority dispatch rights?
- Performance guarantees: Are round-trip efficiency, degradation and availability defined clearly?
- Augmentation plan: Is there a funded strategy to maintain contracted output over time?
- Change in law and regulatory pass-through: How are state OA charge changes handled?
- Termination compensation: Does the contract support debt recovery in downside scenarios?

From a lender's perspective, the most financeable deals are typically those where storage supports an identifiable avoided-cost function for a creditworthy consumer rather than relying heavily on uncertain merchant spreads.

This is also where serious advisory input matters. A weakly drafted contract can erase the value created by otherwise sound technical design.

What C&I consumers should do before signing in 2026

For industrial buyers, the right question is not "Should we add storage?" but "What problem are we solving, and what is the least-cost way to solve it?"

A disciplined procurement process should include:

- 12-24 months of 15-minute load data analysis
- Mapping of tariff slabs, maximum demand charges and time-of-day exposure

- State-specific OA charge and policy assessment
- Solar-only, wind-solar hybrid and RE-plus-storage scenario comparison
- Battery dispatch simulation under realistic operational constraints
- Sensitivity analysis for degradation, cycling and regulatory change
- Review of metering and interconnection readiness at plant and substation level
- Credit and contractability assessment of the proposed structure

Consumers should be cautious about proposals that show storage value using annual average tariffs. The battery earns value in specific hours, not in annual averages. Similarly, any model that ignores augmentation, auxiliary loads, warranty limits or curtailment risk is incomplete.

Developers should also resist oversizing storage to make the offer look sophisticated. In many C&I cases, a smaller battery with high-utilisation economics is superior to a larger system chasing marginal value. Utilities and regulators, meanwhile, should recognise that open-access storage can reduce peak stress on the grid if designed and settled correctly.

The outlook for 2026-2028

Over the next two years, India's C&I open-access market is likely to segment more clearly.

- Plain solar OA will remain relevant for strong daytime loads and simple savings-led procurement
- Wind-solar hybrid OA will gain where profile value matters and land access supports scale
- RE-plus-storage OA will grow fastest for consumers with high evening tariffs, demand-charge pain and process-critical reliability needs

As battery costs moderate and time-of-day tariff signals sharpen, storage-backed C&I renewable procurement will move from premium product to mainstream strategy in selected states. But the winning projects will not be the ones with the most aggressive headline savings. They will be the ones built on accurate hourly modelling, disciplined contract design, and realistic treatment of regulation.

For developers, this means project development is becoming more analytical and less commoditised. For lenders, it means credit work must extend beyond the PPA tariff to operational revenue logic. For policymakers, it means regulatory consistency will increasingly determine whether capital flows into efficient RE-plus-storage solutions.

If you are evaluating C&I open access, storage sizing, delivered-cost economics, state-level charges or bankable project structures, contact Growthifye's advisory desk for a practical assessment tailored to your load profile and market exposure.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Sudarshan Karweer — Chief Executive Officer, Growthifye

Over 23 years in management consulting — concept to scale — building and scaling new-age digital and energy businesses. An EY alumnus, Sudarshan leads Growthifye's renewable energy & storage advisory, EPC, transmission engineering and green-financing practices for developers, utilities and C&I consumers across India.
Contact: info@growthifye.com · +91 84510 99371 · growthifye.com