



# India Solar Module Procurement 2026: ALMM, DCR, CFA and EPC Quality Guide

By Sudarshan Karweer · 25 August 2026 · growthifye.com

*A 2026 guide to solar module procurement in India covering ALMM, DCR, CFA-linked compliance, pricing, quality tests and EPC risk control.*

India's solar market in 2026 is no longer just about getting the lowest module quote and rushing to commissioning. For Indian C&I buyers, developers, lenders, DISCOM-linked projects and public-sector tenders, solar module procurement has become a core project-risk function. The reason is simple: module selection now directly affects ALMM eligibility, DCR compliance, CFA access, generation guarantees, lender due diligence, insurance claims, O&M outcomes and even project COD timelines.

This is especially true for rooftop, captive, group-captive, utility-interactive and solar-plus-storage projects where EPC execution is under tighter scrutiny. Module technology choices have widened, but so have compliance obligations. In 2026, many project delays in India are not caused by civil works or evacuation readiness; they are caused by procurement errors such as wrong ALMM interpretation, late factory slot booking, non-bankable BOM changes, weak incoming quality checks, or mismatch between CFA conditions and actual equipment sourcing.

For stakeholders evaluating solar/BESS EPC opportunities in India, module procurement needs a far more disciplined approach than it did three years ago. This article sets out a practical 2026 framework covering ALMM, DCR, CFA-linked procurement, module pricing, quality assurance, logistics and commissioning risk control.

## Why module procurement is now the most critical EPC workstream

In a typical Indian solar EPC package, modules still account for roughly 45% to 60% of total plant capex depending on project type, voltage architecture, mounting structure and whether BESS is included. For a rooftop C&I project, modules can represent around 38% to 50% of total project cost. For a ground-mounted open-access project, the share may be 48% to 58%.

That means small mistakes in module procurement have an outsized impact on project economics. A pricing error of even Rs 0.30/Wp on a 25 MW project translates into a capex swing of about Rs 75 lakh. A delay in module dispatch by six to eight weeks can trigger IDC overruns, liquidated damages under offtake commitments, and missed seasonal irradiation windows. A compliance error can be even costlier if the project loses tender eligibility or CFA support.

In 2026, module procurement decisions are being evaluated against at least six filters:

- ALMM applicability and list validity at the time of supply
- DCR requirements for specific government-linked schemes and tenders
- CFA scheme conditions for equipment origin, vendor eligibility and commissioning timelines
- Technology-bankability alignment with debt providers and insurers
- Quality traceability from cell to module to site installation
- Supply-chain certainty including domestic capacity, lead times and logistics risk



For lenders and investors, module procurement has moved from a purchase order issue to a credit-risk issue. For EPC contractors, it is now one of the first areas where technical, commercial and legal teams need to work in lockstep.

## **ALMM in 2026: what buyers must verify before placing orders**

The Approved List of Models and Manufacturers remains central to Indian solar procurement strategy in 2026, particularly for government-backed projects, schemes, and many tenders where compliance language is strict. Yet the recurring market problem is that buyers often treat ALMM as a simple vendor check rather than a model-specific and date-sensitive compliance exercise.

That approach is risky.

Procurement teams must verify at least the following before finalising any module purchase:

- Exact manufacturer name as listed in ALMM records
- Exact model number and wattage family
- Manufacturing location covered by the listing
- Validity and applicability for the specific project category
- Tender-specific wording on supply date versus commissioning date compliance
- Any customer-specific restrictions beyond minimum MNRE requirements

An important practical issue in 2026 is BOM consistency. Even when a manufacturer is listed, buyers should confirm whether the actual supplied module configuration aligns with the declared BOM and certification references. Changes in cell sourcing, glass thickness, encapsulant, backsheet or junction box supplier can affect technical risk and bankability, even if the shipment remains commercially attractive.

Developers should also remember that ALMM compliance alone does not establish module quality. It is a market-access requirement, not a substitute for engineering diligence.

For projects exposed to audit or subsidy scrutiny, maintain a full compliance dossier containing:

- ALMM listing evidence at order stage and dispatch stage
- Factory declaration on model/BOM traceability
- IEC and BIS documentation as applicable
- Serial number mapping and dispatch records
- Warranty terms and authorised signatory copies
- Inspection reports, packaging lists and test certificates

This documentation discipline has become important not just for regulatory comfort but also for claim management with insurers, lenders and counterparties.

## **DCR and CFA-linked procurement: where many projects still go wrong**

Domestic Content Requirement remains highly relevant in several Indian solar segments linked to public procurement and support schemes. In parallel, CFA-linked deployment in rooftop and distributed solar continues to require careful interpretation of equipment and vendor compliance conditions.

The first mistake market participants make is assuming DCR and ALMM are interchangeable. They are not. A module can be ALMM-compliant without satisfying DCR conditions. Similarly, meeting a domestic procurement objective in commercial language is not enough if the scheme or tender requires strict



documentary proof of domestic manufacturing.

The second mistake is reading CFA rules only at proposal stage and not at execution stage. In 2026, scheme-linked projects must be reviewed against:

- Current MNRE framework and implementation guidelines
- State nodal agency processes where applicable
- Vendor empanelment or approved-channel requirements
- Consumer category eligibility and system-size caps
- Metering, net billing or gross metering conditions
- Timelines for installation, inspection and commissioning
- Documentation standards for disbursement

For rooftop projects claiming CFA support under current residential or linked categories, EPC firms must ensure that procurement records support subsidy eligibility from day one. Retrofitting compliance after installation often fails because invoice structure, make/model records and portal submissions do not reconcile.

For institutional, utility-linked or public-sector buyers, DCR documentation should be contractually embedded. Recommended safeguards include:

- Manufacturer declaration of domestic manufacturing stage coverage
- Right to audit production records if required by scheme/tender terms
- Specific indemnity for misdeclaration
- Milestone payments linked to documentary compliance, not just dispatch
- Rejection rights for non-conforming labels, serials or certifications

Where developers are using project finance, lenders increasingly ask whether any CFA cash flow or government support assumption is dependent on equipment compliance. If yes, they may haircut expected receipts unless evidence quality is strong. That directly affects debt sizing and DSCR comfort.

## **Module technology and pricing in 2026: what matters for Indian projects**

By 2026, the Indian market has largely moved toward high-efficiency mono PERC transition replacements and newer n-type platforms such as TOPCon, with heterojunction remaining more selective in use cases where performance or space constraints justify a premium. For most Indian EPC projects, the practical debate is less about headline efficiency and more about delivered LCOE under real site and financing conditions.

For C&I rooftops with constrained area, modules in the 550 Wp to 650 Wp class are common, but suitability depends on roof layout, wind loading, handling constraints and mounting design. For utility and open-access applications, buyers increasingly evaluate n-type modules for lower degradation profiles and improved temperature performance, but only where price premiums are justified by P50/P90 gains and financing assumptions.

Indicative market observations in early-to-mid 2026 for India suggest that module pricing remains sensitive to:

- Domestic manufacturing utilisation rates
- Cell availability and domestic integration depth



- Glass and silver input trends
- Exchange-rate pass-through on imported inputs used upstream
- Tender pipeline concentration by quarter
- Delivery location and inland freight costs

In practical EPC underwriting, buyers should not compare modules only on Rs/Wp ex-factory. They should compare on delivered and risk-adjusted basis including:

- Freight and unloading
- Spare supply assumptions
- Insurance during transit and storage
- Packaging quality and breakage exposure
- Payment terms and BG requirements
- Warranty enforceability in India
- Degradation assumptions used in generation model
- Potential mismatch losses with inverter window and DC/AC design

A module that is cheaper by Rs 0.20/Wp can still be inferior if it creates higher breakage, lower string design flexibility, weak low-light response or poor after-sales support. On a financed project, even a 0.4% to 0.8% annual generation underperformance versus model can outweigh initial purchase savings.

For lenders, the more relevant question in 2026 is: does the selected module support robust long-term cash-flow predictability? That is a bankability question, not a procurement-only question.

## **Quality assurance: factory audits, FAT, site inspection and acceptance testing**

Quality failures in Indian solar projects are still too often discovered after installation. By then, corrective action is expensive and politically difficult. Strong EPC contractors now implement a layered quality protocol starting well before dispatch.

A practical 2026 quality-assurance framework should include four levels.

First, pre-award technical due diligence:

- Review manufacturing line capability and automation level
- Verify historical field performance references in India
- Assess warranty support team presence and service response structure
- Review certification stack and test data beyond brochure claims
- Check BOM stability and supplier dependence for key components

Second, pre-dispatch factory quality checks:

- Visual and dimensional inspection against approved datasheet
- EL testing sample review
- Flash test calibration checks
- Packaging inspection and palletisation standards
- Serial-number traceability and data capture protocol



Third, incoming site inspection:

- Count and packaging condition verification
- Breakage and microcrack sampling checks
- Serial reconciliation with dispatch documents
- Temporary storage compliance for weather and handling
- Segregation of damaged or suspect pallets

Fourth, pre-commissioning and acceptance checks:

- String-level polarity and insulation checks
- IV curve testing on sample basis where appropriate
- Thermography after energisation window
- SCADA mapping to serial and string layout records
- Punch-point closure before PAC/COD sign-off

For projects above 10 MW, independent engineer involvement in module quality review is increasingly standard. For C&I portfolios with multiple rooftop sites, a centralised QA checklist helps maintain consistency across EPC crews and regional warehouses.

One area often ignored is document integrity. Site teams frequently install modules before serial capture is completed. That creates later difficulty in warranty claims, CFA submissions, and insurer queries. Serial mapping should be digitised and linked to block or roof-area layout before commissioning sign-off.

## **Logistics, storage and commissioning risks that affect plant performance**

Solar module risk does not end at the factory gate. In India's climate and transport conditions, logistics and storage management materially affect performance outcomes.

Long inland transport routes, rough handling, temporary stacking, delayed unloading and inadequate site storage can lead to:

- Glass damage
- Frame deformation
- Connector damage
- Moisture exposure
- Hidden microcracks
- Label loss affecting traceability

For rooftop projects in dense urban areas, last-mile logistics should be planned as a separate engineering activity. Crane access, service-lift limits, roof loading during temporary material staging, and handling routes through occupied buildings all need advance planning. On industrial roofs, sequence matters: do not dispatch full module volume if waterproofing, cable tray readiness or structure completion is lagging.

For ground-mounted projects, monsoon timing remains a major execution variable. Module deliveries should be aligned with actual installation readiness, not just procurement milestones. Excessive open-yard storage in hot and humid conditions raises avoidable risk.

Commissioning teams must also watch for mismatch between procurement assumptions and installed reality. Common examples include:



- Mixed wattage bins without approved string redesign
- Connector incompatibility across batches
- Frame grounding issues due to mounting changes
- Label inconsistency affecting SCADA asset mapping
- Unapproved substitutions due to dispatch shortages

These are not minor snag items. They can directly affect performance ratio, warranty defensibility and lender acceptance tests.

## **Contracting and risk allocation: what buyers should lock into EPC and supply agreements**

By 2026, robust solar procurement in India requires tighter contracting than the market norm of the past. Whether the buyer is a C&I consumer, IPP, utility-facing developer or public-sector entity, module-related terms in EPC and supply contracts should be explicit.

Key provisions to lock in include:

- Exact make, model, wattage range and approved alternatives
- ALMM and, where applicable, DCR compliance obligations
- Documentary submission list before dispatch and before invoicing
- Delivery schedule with remedies for slippage
- Quality inspection rights at factory and site
- Rejection and replacement timelines
- Warranty back-to-back obligations and claim-support process
- Performance guarantee assumptions tied to approved degradation curves
- Change-control mechanism for any BOM or model substitution
- Indemnity for compliance breach affecting subsidy, tender validity or project revenue

For financed assets, it is also prudent to align EPC terms with lender technical assumptions. If the financial model assumes first-year degradation of 1.0% and subsequent annual degradation of 0.40%, the selected warranty and technology must be consistent with those assumptions, or the revenue model needs adjustment.

Insurance coordination is another under-managed area. Buyers should verify when risk transfers, what transit cover applies, how storage-at-site is treated, and what evidence is needed for breakage claims. Weak packaging photos and missing unloading records frequently weaken recoveries.

A final point for 2026: developers should avoid overcommitting COD before module supply certainty is established. In a market with concentrated quarter-end demand and tender bunching, factory slot availability can be more decisive than nominal quoted lead time.

## **What a good 2026 procurement strategy looks like**

A strong Indian solar module procurement strategy in 2026 combines compliance, engineering, commercial discipline and schedule realism. The best-performing EPC and advisory teams are not the ones chasing the cheapest ex-factory number; they are the ones reducing project-level uncertainty.

In practice, that means:



- Screening procurement through ALMM, DCR and CFA lenses early
- Matching module technology to site conditions and financing assumptions
- Locking production slots before peak tender congestion
- Running independent QA checks instead of relying only on OEM paperwork
- Aligning dispatch timing with actual erection readiness
- Maintaining serialised traceability from factory to commissioning
- Embedding compliance and replacement remedies in contracts

For Indian C&I consumers, this discipline protects tariff savings and plant uptime. For developers, it protects COD and P90 confidence. For lenders, it improves visibility on generation durability and documentation quality. For utilities and policymakers, it supports cleaner execution of scheme-linked capacity growth.

India's solar market in 2026 is large enough that procurement shortcuts now have system-level consequences. Module procurement is no longer a back-office buying task. It is a frontline project-finance, compliance and asset-performance decision.

If you are evaluating a rooftop, captive, open-access or solar+BESS project and need support on module selection, ALMM/DCR compliance, EPC contracting, quality assurance or CFA-linked execution, contact Growthifye's advisory desk for project-specific guidance.

#### ABOUT THE AUTHOR

#### **Sudarshan Karweer — Chief Executive Officer, Growthifye**

Over 23 years in management consulting — concept to scale — building and scaling new-age digital and energy businesses. An EY alumnus, Sudarshan leads Growthifye's renewable energy & storage advisory, EPC, transmission engineering and green-financing practices for developers, utilities and C&I consumers across India.  
Contact: [info@growthifye.com](mailto:info@growthifye.com) · +91 84510 99371 · [growthifye.com](https://growthifye.com)

This article is for general information only and does not constitute engineering, financial or legal advice. © Growthifye. Sharing with attribution is welcome.