India Ancillary Services 2026: BESS Economics, Market Design and Grid Strategy

By Sudarshan Karweer · 02 September 2026 · growthifye.com

How ancillary services can unlock BESS value in India in 2026: market design, tariffs, sizing, grid integration and bankability.

India's Ancillary Services Opportunity for BESS in 2026: Economics, Market Design and Grid Strategy

India's battery energy storage market is often discussed through two familiar lenses: standalone capacity tenders and renewable-firming use cases such as FDRE, RTC and peak-shifting. But in 2026, a third lens is becoming more important for developers, utilities, lenders and large power consumers: ancillary services.

For India's grid, the ancillary-services question is no longer academic. Variable renewable penetration is rising, coal units are cycling harder, evening ramps are steeper, and state-level scheduling quality remains uneven. These conditions increase the value of flexible resources that can respond in seconds to minutes, not just over two- to four-hour discharge windows. That is where battery energy storage systems, if correctly sized, metered and dispatched, can create grid value beyond energy arbitrage.

For project developers, the key issue is whether ancillary services in India can evolve from a marginal revenue add-on into a bankable line item. For utilities and policymakers, the question is how to procure fast flexibility at lower system cost while maintaining operational discipline. For lenders, the concern is whether market design, payment security, dispatch transparency and degradation assumptions are mature enough to support underwriting.

This article examines how ancillary services may shape BESS strategy in India in 2026, the revenue pathways that matter, the operational constraints that are often missed, and what a realistic bankability framework looks like.

Why ancillary services matter more in India's 2026 power system

India's power system in 2026 has more renewable energy online, larger intra-day forecast deviations, and greater balancing complexity across regions. Solar-heavy states continue to experience pronounced duck-curve effects, while wind variability creates evening and night balancing challenges in certain seasons. At the same time, thermal units that historically supplied much of the balancing support are not always the least-cost or fastest-response option.

Several structural trends are strengthening the case for ancillary services from BESS:

- Renewable penetration in many state grids is high enough that ramping and frequency support needs are rising faster than peak-energy needs alone.
- System operators need fast-response assets that can deliver in sub-minute timeframes, not merely within 15-minute scheduling blocks.
- Transmission congestion and local grid stress create locational flexibility value, especially near urban load centers and renewable pooling points.
- Coal plant flexibility has improved but still carries heat-rate penalties, wear-and-tear costs and minimum-loading limitations.
- C&I consumers with open-access renewable portfolios increasingly care about power quality, demand smoothing and exposure to deviation-related costs.

In this context, BESS can potentially provide frequency regulation, ramping support, contingency reserves, reactive-power support depending on inverter capability, and local balancing support. The value is not only technical. It can reduce renewable curtailment, defer certain network upgrades, lower balancing costs, and improve utilization of existing generation and transmission assets.

The ancillary-services stack most relevant for BESS

In India, ancillary-services monetisation for BESS is still evolving and should be viewed through a practical rather than purely theoretical lens. Not every technically possible service will translate into a contracted or market-cleared revenue stream immediately.

The most relevant service buckets in 2026 are likely to be:

- Primary-like fast frequency response or very fast active-power support where rules permit and telemetry is robust
- Secondary balancing or AGC-linked services where system operator frameworks support dispatch and settlement
- Tertiary reserves and contingency support procured centrally or through utility-led structures
- Ramping support during solar evening decline and sudden renewable forecast error events
- Grid-constrained local support where utilities procure availability from strategically sited BESS

For developers, one of the biggest mistakes is to model all these streams as simultaneously stackable at full value. In reality, there are conflicts between reserved capacity, state of charge management, cycling limits, response obligations and energy availability. A 100 MW/200 MWh battery cannot fully commit the same capacity to peak arbitrage, regulation, contingency reserve and renewable firming at the same time.

That is why ancillary-services revenue models must start with dispatch hierarchy and operational constraints, not top-down price assumptions.

How BESS sizing changes when ancillary services are part of the business case

Ancillary-services-led batteries are not always sized the same way as pure peak-shifting or tolling projects. In India, many developers still default to 2-hour or 4-hour configurations because those formats fit tender rules or established lender comfort. But if ancillary services are a meaningful component of revenues, duration is only one parameter.

Sizing decisions should evaluate:

- Power-to-energy ratio: A higher MW relative to MWh can make sense where fast-response services are more valuable than long discharge windows.
- Usable state-of-charge band: Ancillary response requires headroom above and below current SoC, which reduces available energy for arbitrage.
- Round-trip efficiency and auxiliary consumption: These affect both dispatch economics and net delivered support.
- Degradation profile: Fast shallow cycling may degrade differently from deep daily cycling, and OEM warranty terms matter.
- Response speed and inverter controls: These are central to qualification for high-value flexibility services.

- Location and interconnection constraints: A battery near a congested substation may have higher system value than a larger battery in a less constrained node.

As a rough illustration, a battery designed primarily for evening peak shifting may target one deep cycle per day with 85% to 90% annual availability assumptions and a scheduled discharge block. By contrast, an ancillary-oriented asset may preserve 20% to 40% of SoC headroom for upward and downward response, operate with more partial cycles, and derive a lower share of revenue from deterministic energy spreads.

This has two economic implications.

First, usable MWh for arbitrage can be materially lower than nameplate assumptions if reserve commitments are genuine. Second, annual degradation may not necessarily be lower simply because deep cycles are fewer; high-frequency service provision can still consume throughput and affect battery life depending on chemistry, thermal management and operating strategy.

For India in 2026, this means developers should avoid simplistic vendor-led revenue cases. Lenders will increasingly ask for integrated dispatch models that reflect actual co-optimisation between contracted obligations and merchant-like ancillary participation.

Economics in 2026: where revenue may come from, and what not to overstate

Ancillary-services economics for BESS in India should be framed with discipline. The bankable part of the revenue stack usually comes first from fixed or availability-based contracted payments. Variable market-linked upside comes second.

A realistic revenue stack may include:

- Fixed capacity payment under a utility, SECI, NTPC or state-led framework for specified grid-support availability
- Variable dispatch payment for actual energy injection or absorption when instructed
- Limited arbitrage income during non-committed hours
- Potential savings from reduced deviation exposure or balancing costs for co-located renewable portfolios
- Network or local reliability value where distribution utilities procure targeted support

In current market practice, fully merchant assumptions remain difficult to finance on a standalone basis unless leverage is conservative and sponsors are comfortable with price risk. Ancillary revenues that depend on evolving market rules, changing qualification criteria or discretionary dispatch should be haircut heavily in base-case models.

As a practical benchmark, many lenders will separate revenue into three bands:

- Contracted and highly visible
- Rule-based but uncertain in utilisation or pricing
- Aspirational upside not counted for debt service coverage

For BESS linked to ancillary services, debt sizing is likely to rely mainly on the first band, partially on the second if there is enough operating evidence, and not at all on the third.

Developers should also be careful with capex assumptions. By 2026, battery system pricing has moderated compared with earlier peaks, but total installed cost in India still depends heavily on duration, imported component exposure, PCS/inverter specifications, augmentation strategy, fire safety systems, land,

evacuation, and cybersecurity-compliant EMS/SCADA architecture. High-performance ancillary-ready systems may require better controls, telemetry and integration than basic time-shift batteries, and those costs should not be ignored.

Market design and regulation: what will decide whether ancillary services scale

The speed at which ancillary-services revenue becomes investable in India depends less on generic storage enthusiasm and more on market design details. Several issues matter.

First is product definition. If services are not clearly defined by response time, duration, accuracy, telemetry standard, testing method and non-performance penalties, price discovery becomes weak and qualification disputes rise.

Second is settlement design. Developers and lenders need transparent rules on:

- Availability payment versus utilisation payment
- Baseline methodology

n- State of charge obligations before dispatch windows

- Under-delivery penalties
- Forced charging rights and compensation
- Treatment of transmission losses, auxiliary loads and metering points

Third is payment security. A technically sound ancillary-services framework is not enough if counterparty risk is poor. Payment security structures, LC support where relevant, centralised procurement quality and timely settlement discipline materially affect bankability.

Fourth is market access. Whether participation is limited to centrally contracted assets, open to broader aggregators, or linked to state-level utility procurement will shape who can invest and at what cost of capital.

Fifth is co-optimisation with energy markets. If a battery must choose blindly between day-ahead, real-time and reserve value streams without clear dispatch hierarchy or settlement integrity, revenue forecasting becomes unreliable. The more transparent the co-optimisation framework, the easier it becomes to finance hybrid BESS business models.

Finally, locational signals matter. India’s ancillary-services need is not uniform. Congested renewable states, metro-adjacent demand centers, weak-grid industrial corridors and renewable pooling substations all have different flexibility needs. Over time, procurement design should recognise that a megawatt of fast storage in one node may be more valuable than in another.

Key bankability issues for developers, lenders and utilities

Ancillary-services projects can look attractive in technical presentations yet struggle in diligence. The most common bankability questions in India in 2026 are straightforward.

Can the battery legally and operationally deliver the service claimed?

This requires clarity on grid-code compliance, telemetry, metering architecture, communications redundancy, response testing and dispatch interface with the system operator or procurer.

Is the revenue contracted, observable or hypothetical?

A spreadsheet based on foreign market regulation prices is not useful for Indian debt underwriting. Investors want Indian rulebooks, tender terms, actual dispatch logic and settlement evidence.

What is the degradation and augmentation plan?

Ancillary-service projects often understate the operational burden on the battery. The financing case should define throughput assumptions, temperature conditions, warranty envelopes, augmentation timing and the capex source for augmentation.

How is state of charge managed?

A battery cannot provide upward flexibility if it is fully discharged, or downward flexibility if it is fully charged. SoC discipline is central to service reliability, especially when multiple revenue streams are stacked.

What happens during low-renewable or grid-stress periods?

If the battery is co-located with renewable generation, charging access matters. If charging from the grid is restricted, uneconomic or procedurally unclear, ancillary-service availability may be compromised.

What are the must-have diligence areas?

- EMS and dispatch controls suitability for multi-service operation
- OEM performance guarantees and liquidated damages structure
- Fire safety and thermal-event response design
- Cybersecurity compliance for control and telemetry systems
- Interconnection and substation readiness
- Counterparty payment record and dispute framework
- Sensitivity analysis for utilisation, spreads, degradation and replacement capex

Utilities evaluating BESS for ancillary support should likewise avoid a lowest-tariff-only mindset. Poorly designed service contracts can produce nominally cheap tariffs but weak real-world performance. Procurement should reward actual response quality, availability discipline and integration readiness.

Strategic implications for C&I consumers and renewable developers

The ancillary-services discussion is not relevant only for grid operators. It increasingly matters for C&I buyers and renewable sponsors as well.

For large industrial and commercial consumers, especially those managing open-access renewable portfolios, storage that can provide fast balancing and demand smoothing may reduce exposure to deviation costs, improve reliability during local disturbances and support cleaner power procurement strategies. In some cases, behind-the-meter or feeder-level storage economics may improve when resilience and power-quality benefits are added to energy savings.

For renewable developers, ancillary-compatible BESS can support portfolio optimisation in multiple ways:

- Reduce curtailment risk in constrained nodes
- Improve scheduling performance for hybrid and firmed products
- Capture optionality as market rules evolve
- Strengthen utility offtake appeal where balancing support is valued
- Create future participation pathways in more dynamic grid-service markets

But optionality only has value if the asset is designed for it from day one. Retrofitting telemetry, controls and contractual flexibility later is often expensive and operationally disruptive.

That is why project developers in 2026 should treat ancillary-services capability as a strategic design parameter, even if the initial revenue case is anchored in a more conventional contract. The right question is not whether ancillary services alone finance the asset today. The better question is whether the battery can reliably pivot into higher-value flexibility roles over its operating life as India's power markets mature.

What a sensible 2026 strategy looks like

A sensible strategy for ancillary-services-oriented BESS in India has four features.

First, anchor revenues in a contract or use case that lenders understand, such as utility procurement, renewable firming, capacity-style availability support or a structured portfolio application.

Second, preserve technical optionality through proper EMS, telemetry, inverter capability, SoC strategy and operating envelopes.

Third, model ancillary revenues conservatively, with explicit qualification assumptions, dispatch probabilities, degradation impacts and payment-delay sensitivities.

Fourth, choose site and interconnection points based on system value, not only land convenience or generic evacuation availability.

India's storage market in 2026 is moving from hardware enthusiasm to dispatch realism. In that transition, ancillary services may become one of the most important value pools for BESS, but only for projects designed around actual grid needs, operational discipline and credible commercial structures.

For developers, this is a strategy problem as much as an equipment problem. For lenders, it is a contract and controls problem as much as a tariff problem. For utilities and policymakers, it is a market-design problem that will influence how efficiently India integrates the next wave of renewable capacity.

If you are evaluating a BESS opportunity linked to ancillary services, hybrid flexibility, or grid-support procurement, contact Growthifye's advisory desk for project-specific commercial, technical and bankability support.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Sudarshan Karweer — Chief Executive Officer, Growthifye

Over 23 years in management consulting — concept to scale — building and scaling new-age digital and energy businesses. An EY alumnus, Sudarshan leads Growthifye's renewable energy & storage advisory, EPC, transmission engineering and green-financing practices for developers, utilities and C&I consumers across India.
Contact: info@growthifye.com · +91 84510 99371 · growthifye.com