India 2026 Scope 3 Decarbonisation Strategy: Supplier MRV, BRSR Core and CBAM

By Sudarshan Karweer · 08 September 2026 · growthifye.com

A practitioner guide to Scope 3 decarbonisation in India: supplier MRV, BRSR Core, PCF, CBAM exposure, MACC and finance-ready action plans.

Indian companies have spent the last two years tightening Scope 1 and Scope 2 inventories, evaluating open access power, and preparing for closer assurance under BRSR Core. In 2026, the next real pressure point is Scope 3. For many sectors, value-chain emissions are 4x to 20x larger than operational emissions. That changes capital allocation, supplier engagement, export strategy and even customer retention.

For Indian C&I energy consumers, exporters, lenders and developers, Scope 3 is no longer an optional sustainability exercise. It is becoming a commercial requirement driven by four converging forces: BRSR Core supply-chain expectations, customer product carbon footprint requests, CBAM-linked emissions scrutiny in export value chains, and lender demand for more credible transition plans.

The practical challenge is obvious. Scope 3 is harder than Scope 1 and 2 because the emissions sit outside the reporting boundary, data quality is uneven, and supplier maturity varies from listed entities with ERP-backed ESG systems to MSMEs still operating on diesel gensets and paper invoices. Yet companies cannot wait for perfect data. The 2026 playbook is to establish decision-useful baselines, prioritise high-impact categories, improve supplier MRV over time, and align decarbonisation actions with procurement, operations and finance.

This article sets out a practitioner framework for Scope 3 decarbonisation in India in 2026, with a focus on supplier MRV, BRSR Core readiness, CBAM-adjacent value chains, and costed implementation.

Why Scope 3 moved to the top of the agenda in 2026

The trigger is not one policy alone. It is the interaction of disclosure, trade and procurement.

- BRSR and BRSR Core have pushed listed companies and their value chains toward better ESG controls, traceability and assurance discipline.
- EU customers are requesting more granular plant- and product-level emissions inputs from Indian suppliers, especially in metals, cementitious products, chemicals and engineering goods.
- Multinational buyers increasingly ask for supplier-specific emissions factors rather than generic databases.
- Internal net-zero commitments and SBTi-aligned pathways are exposing the fact that Scope 3 often dominates total emissions.
- Lenders and investment committees are asking whether transition plans cover supply-chain carbon risk, not just on-site efficiency and renewable power.

For a typical Indian manufacturer, purchased goods and services, capital goods, upstream transport, fuel- and energy-related activities, waste, business travel, employee commuting, use-phase emissions and end-of-life may all be relevant. But in practice, two or three categories usually drive most emissions.

Examples seen across Indian sectors in 2026:

- Auto and engineering: purchased steel, aluminium, components, logistics and use-phase fuel or electricity consumption.
- Building materials: clinker, lime, fuels, inbound freight and packaging.
- Chemicals: feedstocks, process intermediates, steam, solvents and transport.
- Consumer goods: packaging, contracted manufacturing, warehousing and downstream distribution.
- Data centres and digital infrastructure: embodied emissions from equipment, backup fuel systems, grid electricity in leased assets and construction materials.

The business implication is simple: if a company cannot measure supplier-linked emissions with reasonable confidence, it will struggle to set credible targets, respond to customer questionnaires, or defend its decarbonisation strategy to lenders.

Start with a materiality-first baseline, not a perfect-inventory mindset

The most common failure in Scope 3 programmes is attempting to collect everything from everyone in year one. That creates supplier fatigue, internal confusion and weak outputs. A better route is materiality-first baselining.

A practical 2026 baseline process for Indian corporates looks like this:

- Map all 15 Scope 3 categories under the GHG Protocol.
- Screen materiality using spend data, tonnage, import-export flows, production volumes and sector-specific emissions intensity.
- Identify the top 20 suppliers, categories or SKUs contributing 70% to 90% of total estimated Scope 3.
- Apply a tiered data hierarchy: supplier primary data where available, hybrid models where activity data exists but emission factors are generic, and secondary databases only for the long tail.
- Create a data confidence score by category and supplier.
- Build a 24-month plan to replace low-confidence estimates with primary data in the most material hotspots.

In Indian industrial portfolios, it is common for purchased goods and services to represent 50% to 80% of Scope 3. Capital goods can add another 5% to 20% during expansion cycles. Upstream logistics may be smaller in percentage terms, but can still be commercially important where diesel-dependent trucking, reefer transport or export freight is involved.

This is where [Carbon accounting & disclosure](/coreservices/netzerodecarbonisation/carbonaccountinganddisclosure) becomes operational rather than purely report-oriented. The objective is not just to publish a number. It is to identify where procurement specifications, process shifts, renewable power sourcing, electrification and supplier engagement can actually cut emissions at viable cost.

Supplier MRV in India: what good looks like in practice

Supplier MRV for Scope 3 does not need to begin with enterprise-grade software across every vendor. It needs a structured protocol that fits Indian supply chains.

A workable supplier MRV architecture in 2026 includes:

- Supplier segmentation by spend, emissions intensity, export criticality and strategic importance.
- Standardised templates for energy, fuel, production, material composition and transport data.

- A minimum evidence list: utility bills, fuel purchase records, meter logs, production summaries, dispatch records and third-party test certificates where relevant.
- Clear rules for allocating site-level emissions to products.
- Quarterly or half-yearly refresh cycles for key suppliers rather than annual-only data capture.
- Escalation pathways for data gaps, including use of conservative proxy factors.

For medium and large suppliers, request plant-level activity data at minimum:

- Grid electricity in kWh
- Renewable electricity procurement by source
- Coal, petcoke, furnace oil, LPG, diesel and natural gas consumption
- Process emissions where applicable
- Production output in tonnes, units or m3
- Scrap ratios and yield losses
- Inbound and outbound freight distances and modes

For smaller Indian suppliers, especially MSMEs, a lighter model is more effective:

- A simple monthly energy and fuel template in Excel or a mobile form
- Default emission factors preloaded by fuel type
- Basic guidance in local language where needed
- A training session for plant admin or accounts teams
- A roadmap to install sub-metering or improve utility tracking over 6 to 12 months

The aim is to improve data quality without pushing suppliers out of compliance by complexity alone. Many MSME suppliers can participate if the buyer reduces reporting friction.

Cost matters. A typical supplier-engagement wave covering 25 to 50 strategic vendors can often deliver a much better emissions baseline at lower cost than enterprise-wide software rollouts with weak adoption. In 2026, companies that combine focused supplier onboarding with category-level analytics are moving faster than those waiting for perfect digital maturity.

BRSR Core, PCF requests and CBAM-adjacent pressure are converging

Although BRSR Core is not the same as Scope 3 accounting, it is shaping the control environment around value-chain sustainability data. Boards, audit committees and assurance providers increasingly want traceable methodologies, version control, evidence retention and management sign-off. That discipline helps Scope 3 programmes mature.

At the same time, buyers in export-linked sectors are asking Indian suppliers for product carbon footprint inputs, sometimes at SKU level or at least product-family level. Even where a supplier is not directly covered by EU border measures, its customers may still need plant and product emissions data for internal procurement filters or customer disclosures.

This creates three immediate actions for Indian industry:

- Link enterprise Scope 3 categories with plant and product-level data architecture.
- Ensure procurement, sustainability and finance teams use the same emissions assumptions.
- Build audit-ready records that can stand up to customer review, assurance or lender diligence.

A steel fabricator supplying into an export OEM chain may now face requests for:

- Electricity source mix and renewable share
- Fuel split by process
- Scrap content
- Yield losses
- Transport mode assumptions
- Product-level allocation methodology

A chemicals supplier may be asked for feedstock emissions, steam sourcing, captive power profile and waste treatment assumptions. A building-material producer may need to show clinker factor, thermal fuel mix, renewable power share and freight intensity.

This is why Scope 3 strategy must connect with product carbon and trade exposure, even if the corporate inventory is the starting point.

Where the biggest Scope 3 reductions usually come from

In 2026, the most effective Scope 3 reductions in India are not coming from generic offset-style approaches. They are coming from operational interventions in the supplier base and smarter procurement design.

The most common high-impact levers are:

- Low-carbon material substitution
- Supplier renewable electricity uptake
- Industrial efficiency and waste-heat recovery at supplier plants
- Fuel switching and electrification in thermal and mechanical loads where feasible
- Recycled content optimisation
- Yield improvement and scrap reduction
- Logistics redesign and modal shift
- Packaging redesign
- Product lightweighting and design-for-circularity

Indicative examples:

- Secondary aluminium can cut embodied emissions substantially versus primary metal, subject to quality and supply constraints.
- EAF-based steel with high scrap and renewable electricity can materially reduce emissions versus more carbon-intensive routes, depending on sourcing and plant profile.
- Supplier open access solar or wind, group captive structures, and rooftop solar can reduce Scope 2 at supplier facilities, which then lowers your purchased-goods emissions.
- Diesel-forklift fleets in warehouses can be replaced with electric fleets where duty cycles and charging are workable.
- Low-temperature process heat under roughly 120-150°C can, in many cases, be evaluated for heat pumps or electric alternatives.
- Long-haul road freight can sometimes be cut through network redesign, load consolidation and rail substitution on selected corridors.

The key is to quantify both abatement and cost. This is where [Net-zero roadmaps & MACC](/coreservices/netzerodecarbonisation/netzeroroadmapsandmacc) becomes useful. A marginal abatement cost curve for Scope 3 should not be a theoretical exercise. It should rank supplier and category interventions by:

- tCO2e reduction potential
- Rs/tCO2e avoided
- capex requirement
- implementation time
- supplier dependence
- co-benefits such as energy savings, scrap reduction or customer preference

In practice, some Scope 3 levers are no-regret and cash-positive. Others require co-investment, longer contracts or procurement-premium decisions.

How to build a finance-ready Scope 3 programme

A credible Scope 3 programme must survive CFO scrutiny. That means turning emissions maps into commercial workplans.

A finance-ready structure typically includes five layers:

- Baseline: category-wise inventory with data-quality scores and top hotspots
- Targets: 2030 and 2035 reduction pathways with supplier coverage milestones
- Levers: technical interventions with quantified abatement ranges
- Economics: capex, opex, savings, payback and carbon-cost sensitivity
- Governance: ownership across procurement, operations, sustainability and finance

For Indian corporates, a useful sequencing model is:

- Months 0-3: materiality screen, baseline build, supplier prioritisation
- Months 3-6: supplier MRV templates, pilot data collection, hotspot validation
- Months 6-12: first-wave interventions for top suppliers and categories
- Year 2: target recalibration, product-level footprinting for priority lines, assurance strengthening

Relevant commercial thresholds in 2026 may include:

- Renewable power under open access or group captive for suppliers where landed tariffs are competitive with grid power, often in the range of roughly Rs 4.0-6.5/kWh depending on state, profile and structure
- Rooftop solar for supplier sites with viable shadow-free area and daytime loads, often competitive against commercial tariffs above roughly Rs 6-8/kWh
- Efficiency projects with sub-3-year payback for compressed air, motors, VFDs, waste heat and process controls
- Electrification pilots where diesel or LPG substitution economics improve under high utilisation and stable power quality

The exact business case depends on state regulation, duty structure, contract tenure, banking rules, grid reliability and financing terms. But the point is clear: Scope 3 can be decarbonised through identifiable projects, not just disclosure narratives.

A practical governance model for Indian companies

Scope 3 fails when it is left solely with the ESG team. The companies making progress in 2026 are building cross-functional governance.

A workable operating model includes:

- Procurement owning supplier data clauses, onboarding and performance review
- Sustainability owning methodology, factors, boundaries and reporting integrity
- Plant or operations teams validating technical feasibility of interventions
- Finance evaluating payback, contract structures and internal hurdle rates
- Sales or export teams translating customer requests into data priorities
- Internal audit or assurance teams reviewing evidence and controls

Contracting also matters. New supplier agreements increasingly include clauses on energy data sharing, emissions disclosure, renewable power plans and improvement roadmaps. For strategic suppliers, buyers are beginning to combine longer tenure, volume visibility or preferred-vendor status with decarbonisation expectations.

Utilities, RE developers and lenders also have a role. Supplier decarbonisation frequently requires practical execution support: open access sourcing, captive solar, storage evaluation, energy audits, sub-metering, electrification studies and measurement protocols. The market opportunity is no longer only direct corporate procurement. It increasingly includes supplier ecosystems.

What Indian companies should do in the next 90 days

If your organisation has not yet moved beyond a high-level Scope 3 estimate, the next 90 days should focus on execution basics.

- Identify the top three Scope 3 categories by likely materiality.
- Build a supplier shortlist covering at least 60% of those categories by spend or volume.
- Standardise one data template and one evidence checklist.
- Launch a pilot with 10 to 15 suppliers.
- Build a first-pass MACC for the top five abatement levers.
- Align procurement, sustainability and finance on a single governance cadence.
- Map customer and export-data requests against your internal reporting gaps.

This is not about waiting for perfect regulation. It is about preparing for tighter customer screening, stronger assurance expectations and more carbon-aware procurement.

For Indian industry in 2026, Scope 3 is where decarbonisation becomes a real supply-chain programme. Companies that act now can improve disclosure quality, reduce customer risk, prioritise cost-effective abatement and strengthen financing conversations. Companies that delay may find themselves reacting to buyer demands with weak data, limited leverage over suppliers and poorly prioritised capital deployment.

Growthifye supports corporates, developers and financial institutions with Carbon accounting & disclosure, Net-zero roadmaps & MACC, supplier decarbonisation strategy, product-level emissions architecture and audit-ready MRV. If your team is building a 2026 Scope 3 programme, contact Growthifye’s advisory desk for a practical, finance-linked roadmap.

Explore Growthifye's related capabilities

This analysis connects directly to our advisory practice: [Carbon accounting & disclosure](/coreservices/netzerodecarbonisation/carbonaccountinganddisclosure) · [Net-zero roadmaps & MACC](/coreservices/netzerodecarbonisation/netzeroroadmapsandmacc) · [RE-led decarbonisation](/coreservices/netzerodecarbonisation/releddecarbonisation) · [Industrial efficiency & electrification](/coreservices/netzerodecarbonisation/industrialefficiencyandelectrification).

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Sudarshan Karweer — Chief Executive Officer, Growthifye

Over 23 years in management consulting — concept to scale — building and scaling new-age digital and energy businesses. An EY alumnus, Sudarshan leads Growthifye's renewable energy & storage advisory, EPC, transmission engineering and green-financing practices for developers, utilities and C&I consumers across India.
Contact: info@growthifye.com · +91 84510 99371 · growthifye.com