



India 2026 Methane Abatement Strategy for C&I: MRV, MACC and Carbon Market Readiness

By Sudarshan Karweir · 03 September 2026 · growthifye.com

A 2026 India playbook for methane abatement: source mapping, MRV, MACC, CCTS readiness and bankable decarbonisation actions.

Methane is becoming a board-level decarbonisation issue for Indian industry, not only for oil and gas. In 2026, methane matters across city gas distribution, CNG and LNG use, industrial wastewater, food processing, distilleries, paper, chemicals, fertiliser, steel support systems, mining-linked supply chains and municipal-interface operations. For Indian companies building net-zero plans, methane is often the fastest way to cut high-impact Scope 1 emissions at low or even negative cost.

That matters because methane has a far higher warming impact than carbon dioxide over the near term. While corporate disclosures still report greenhouse gases in CO₂e, methane reduction increasingly affects BRSR Core data quality, SBTi-aligned pathways, lender technical diligence, plant-level compliance planning and participation readiness for India's Carbon Credit Trading Scheme (CCTS) and Article 6-linked opportunities. If your decarbonisation strategy still focuses only on electricity procurement and fuel switching, you may be missing one of the highest-return abatement wedges.

This article lays out a practical 2026 playbook for Indian C&I energy consumers, utilities, developers and financiers: where methane sits in industrial inventories, how to measure it credibly, which abatement projects usually make economic sense, and how to align methane action with MRV and carbon-market readiness.

Why methane deserves a separate strategy in 2026

For many Indian companies, methane sits inside aggregated Scope 1 emissions and does not get dedicated management attention. That is a mistake for three reasons.

First, methane leaks and venting can have an outsized climate impact relative to their volume. Even modest losses in gas systems can materially change a plant carbon baseline when converted to CO₂e.

Second, methane reduction often improves operating economics. If methane is escaping from PNG, LNG regas systems, compressed gas lines, burners, valves, flanges, storage tanks, digesters or wastewater units, that is lost product and lost energy value. At delivered industrial gas prices, stopping leakage can produce very short payback periods.

Third, methane is measurement-sensitive. If a company wants finance-ready decarbonisation plans, credible [Carbon accounting & disclosure](/coreservices/netzerodecarbonisation/carbonaccountinganddisclosure) and future access to [Carbon markets & MRV](/coreservices/netzerodecarbonisation/carbonmarketsandmr) pathways, it cannot rely only on generic emission factors where direct measurement is feasible.

In practice, methane should be treated as a dedicated workstream where one or more of the following apply:

- Annual natural gas, biogas, CNG or LNG consumption is material
- The site has anaerobic wastewater treatment, sludge handling or organic waste streams
- The company operates landfills, digesters, fermentation or distillation assets
- The business has exposure to upstream fossil fuel supply chains or gas distribution systems
- Lenders, export customers or parent entities are tightening audit requirements for non-CO₂ gases



Where Indian industry should look first for methane emissions

In 2026, the most common methane hotspots for Indian commercial and industrial facilities are more mundane than many teams expect. They are typically found in fuel systems, waste streams and incomplete combustion conditions rather than in a single obvious stack.

Key source categories include:

- Fugitive emissions from gas pipelines, valves, regulators, meters, compressors, flanges and joints
- LNG unloading, storage, boil-off gas handling and regasification equipment losses
- CNG cascade systems and pressure reduction stations
- Industrial boilers, furnaces and thermal oxidisers operating under poor combustion tuning
- Wastewater treatment plants with anaerobic reactors, lagoons, digesters or sludge management gaps
- Distilleries, breweries, food processing and pulp and paper facilities with organic effluent loads
- Coal mining-linked operations and captive resource chains where methane may be released upstream
- Biogas plants where flare inefficiency, venting or digestate management causes methane slip
- Solid waste handling interfaces at large campuses, municipalities and processing parks

For many sites, the first insight comes from a methane source walkdown rather than from a spreadsheet. The plant should be divided into measurable source blocks: fuel receipt, storage, pressure reduction, combustion, wastewater, sludge, waste and emergency release systems. Each block then needs an owner, a measurement method and a data frequency.

A common issue in India is over-reliance on annual fuel-purchase records while ignoring system losses. Fuel bought is not always fuel combusted productively. Without a mass-balance approach and leak-screening programme, companies under-identify methane reduction potential.

Building a practical methane MRV system

A methane strategy succeeds or fails on MRV. If the aim is audit-ready disclosure, internal MACC decisions, lender confidence or eventual carbon crediting, measurement boundaries and data quality must be explicit.

A practical methane MRV architecture for Indian industry in 2026 has five layers.

- Asset boundary definition: Map every methane-relevant source by plant, process unit and ownership boundary. Include leased assets and utility islands where operational control exists.
- Source categorisation: Separate fugitives, vents, combustion slip, wastewater emissions, waste emissions and biogenic methane capture or destruction.
- Quantification hierarchy: Use direct measurement where economical, engineering estimates where robust, and emission factors only where site-specific data is impractical.
- Frequency and controls: Set monthly data capture for material streams and quarterly verification for leak-prone systems. High-risk units may require continuous or campaign-based monitoring.
- Assurance trail: Maintain calibration records, meter IDs, assumptions logs, flare efficiency assumptions, gas composition data and version-controlled calculation sheets.

Direct measurement options are improving in India. Depending on asset type and budget, companies can use:

- Portable methane detectors and optical gas imaging for leak detection and repair programmes
- Ultrasonic or thermal mass flow meters for vent streams



- Gas chromatography or certified composition analysis for methane content assumptions
- Continuous emissions monitoring for selected combustion and destruction systems
- Wastewater COD, BOD and biogas yield monitoring to estimate methane generation and capture efficiency
- Drone or periodic survey tools for larger sites, storage yards or hard-to-access assets

The right method depends on materiality. A plant consuming 0.2-0.5 mmscmd equivalent gas across multiple pressure stages should not use the same MRV approach as a small commercial campus on limited PNG consumption. Likewise, a distillery or starch plant with high-COD effluent needs process-specific methane accounting rather than generic wastewater factors.

From a governance standpoint, methane MRV should sit inside the broader net-zero data stack, not in a silo. The sustainability team may own reporting, but operations, EHS, utility managers, maintenance and finance must all sign off on assumptions. This is where integrated Carbon accounting & disclosure becomes valuable: methane data has to reconcile with fuel ledgers, production data, utility bills and maintenance logs.

Methane abatement projects that usually clear the hurdle rate

The good news is that many methane actions sit low on the marginal abatement cost curve. Companies that already run energy audits or utility optimisation programmes can often add methane measures with limited incremental effort.

The highest-priority interventions in 2026 typically include:

- Leak detection and repair for gas systems
- Burner tuning and combustion optimisation to reduce unburnt methane slip
- Replacement of old valves, seals, pressure regulators and compressor fittings
- Boil-off gas capture and better LNG handling protocols
- Upgrades to flare systems to improve destruction efficiency
- Anaerobic digester gas capture, cleanup and productive use
- Wastewater process optimisation to avoid uncontrolled methane release
- Covered lagoons, gas holders and biogas recovery systems where effluent characteristics justify them
- Sludge and organic waste diversion to controlled biomethanation instead of unmanaged decomposition

Economics vary by sector, but some broad rules hold.

Leak detection and repair programmes often have paybacks below 12-24 months where gas prices are elevated and distribution systems are old or complex. Combustion tuning projects are frequently bundled with efficiency upgrades and can deliver both fuel savings and methane reduction. Wastewater methane capture may need higher capex, but in distilleries, food processing and agro-industrial sites the business case improves materially if captured gas offsets furnace oil, LPG, natural gas or purchased electricity.

As a rough screening lens for 2026:

- Low-capex methane LDAR programmes may range from a few lakh rupees for smaller facilities to Rs 50-150 lakh for multi-unit plants with structured campaigns, software and training
- Burner and control retrofits often land in the Rs 20-200 lakh range depending on unit size and automation scope



- Industrial biogas capture and utilisation systems can range from Rs 2 crore to Rs 20 crore or more, depending on effluent load, cleanup needs and downstream use case
- Financial returns improve sharply where displaced fuels cost Rs 35-60 per scm gas equivalent, LPG remains expensive, or backup thermal demand is steady

For CFOs, methane should not be screened only as a climate project. It is a fuel-loss, uptime, compliance and asset-integrity project. In many plants, methane abatement belongs in the same capex conversation as steam optimisation, compressed air leak reduction and waste-heat recovery.

How methane fits into MACC, CCTS and Article 6 readiness

By 2026, Indian companies are under pressure to convert climate commitments into plant-level investment pipelines. That makes methane a strong candidate for inclusion in [Net-zero roadmaps & MACC](/coreservices/netzerodecarbonisation/netzeroroadmapsandmac).

A methane MACC should rank opportunities using at least six variables:

- Annual tCO₂e abatement potential
- Capex and implementation timeline
- Fuel or product loss recovery value
- Measurement confidence
- Operational disruption risk
- Eligibility or strategic fit for future carbon-market treatment

Projects with direct fuel savings often show negative or low abatement cost per tCO₂e. But measurement confidence matters. A theoretically attractive project is less useful if baseline emissions cannot be established well enough for internal governance, financing or credit issuance.

This is where methane work intersects with India's emerging carbon-market architecture. Not every methane project will immediately translate into tradable credits, and methodologies, eligibility conditions and registry requirements will remain important filters. However, firms that establish robust methane baselines, calibrated metering and documented project boundaries will be materially better prepared for:

- CCTS-linked compliance or offset market evolution
- Voluntary market participation where methodologies permit
- Article 6-aligned transaction discussions for specific project types
- Better lender confidence on claimed abatement outcomes

For example, a wastewater methane capture system with clear baseline COD data, gas flow metering, destruction or utilisation records, downtime logs and third-party verification pathways is much closer to market readiness than a project built only on design assumptions.

The same applies to methane destruction claims in flares or enclosed combustors. Destruction efficiency assumptions must be defensible. If your plant is relying on standard assumptions with no operating data, the abatement claim may not survive diligence.

Sector-specific priorities for Indian C&I firms

Different sectors should sequence methane differently.



Chemicals, fertilisers and city-gas-intensive manufacturing should start with fugitive mapping, gas balance reconciliation and combustion optimisation.

Food processing, distilleries, dairy, starch, paper and other high-organic-load sectors should prioritise wastewater methane, digesters, flare performance and gas utilisation.

Metals, ceramics, glass and heavy thermal users with LNG systems should focus on storage, pressure reduction, burner tuning and emergency release minimisation.

Large campuses, industrial parks and utilities should not ignore methane in waste and sewage systems, especially where decentralised treatment exists.

Lenders and investors evaluating industrial decarbonisation plans should ask four practical questions:

- Has methane been disaggregated from generic Scope 1 reporting?
- Are source-specific MRV methods documented and auditable?
- Does the MACC include methane measures with implementation sequencing?
- Are project claims linked to realistic operating data rather than vendor assumptions?

This matters for underwriting because methane projects can improve DSCR indirectly through fuel savings and operational efficiency while also strengthening decarbonisation credibility.

A 12-month implementation roadmap

For companies starting now, a sensible 12-month methane programme looks like this:

- Months 1-2: Build source inventory, assign boundaries, collect fuel and process data, identify high-risk methane sources
- Months 2-4: Run site surveys, leak screening and wastewater methane diagnostics; define data gaps
- Months 4-6: Establish methane baseline, quantify abatement options, prepare MACC and capex screen
- Months 6-8: Launch no-regret actions such as LDAR, control tuning, maintenance fixes and flare performance checks
- Months 8-10: Develop DPRs for larger projects such as gas capture, cleanup, utilisation or process retrofits
- Months 10-12: Integrate methane KPIs into management dashboards, annual disclosure, lender reporting and carbon-market readiness files

The companies that move early will have three advantages. They will cut high-impact emissions faster, improve the credibility of their net-zero plans, and build an evidence base for future carbon-market and finance discussions.

Methane is not a niche issue anymore. In 2026 India, it is a practical decarbonisation lever with direct implications for cost, disclosure quality, compliance preparedness and project bankability. Companies that treat methane as a measurable operating variable rather than a residual reporting number will make better capital-allocation decisions.

Growthifye supports industrial and infrastructure clients with Carbon accounting & disclosure, Net-zero roadmaps & MACC and Carbon markets & MRV to turn decarbonisation intent into plant-level action. If your team needs a methane baseline, abatement MACC or MRV-ready project pipeline, contact Growthifye's advisory desk.



Explore Growthifye's related capabilities

This analysis connects directly to our advisory practice: [Carbon accounting & disclosure](/coreservices/netzerodecarbonisation/carbonaccountinganddisclosure) · [Net-zero roadmaps & MACC](/coreservices/netzerodecarbonisation/netzeroroadmapsandmacc) · [RE-led decarbonisation](/coreservices/netzerodecarbonisation/releddecarbonisation) · [Industrial efficiency & electrification](/coreservices/netzerodecarbonisation/industrialefficiencyandelectrification).

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Sudarshan Karweer — Chief Executive Officer, Growthifye

Over 23 years in management consulting — concept to scale — building and scaling new-age digital and energy businesses. An EY alumnus, Sudarshan leads Growthifye's renewable energy & storage advisory, EPC, transmission engineering and green-financing practices for developers, utilities and C&I consumers across India.
Contact: info@growthifye.com · +91 84510 99371 · growthifye.com

This article is for general information only and does not constitute engineering, financial or legal advice. © Growthifye. Sharing with attribution is welcome.