Data Centre 24/7 CFE in India: RTC Power, BESS, Grid Strategy and PUE in 2026

By Sudarshan Karweer · 25 August 2026 · growthifye.com

How Indian data centres can combine 24/7 CFE, RTC contracts, BESS, grid access and PUE optimisation in 2026.

India's data centre market is moving from capacity expansion to energy architecture. In 2026, the critical question is no longer whether a facility can procure renewable electricity on an annual basis, but whether it can run with high hourly clean-energy matching while preserving uptime, tariff competitiveness, and financing bankability. For operators in Mumbai, Chennai, Hyderabad, Noida, Bengaluru and emerging clusters in Pune, Navi Mumbai and Tier-2 edge locations, energy strategy now sits at the intersection of 24/7 carbon-free energy, round-the-clock contracting, state grid connectivity, on-site battery energy storage systems, intelligent energy management systems, and power usage effectiveness optimisation.

For Indian data centres, this is not just an ESG issue. It directly affects delivered cost of power, grid reliability, diesel displacement, lender comfort, customer procurement standards, and expansion approvals. Cloud customers, hyperscalers, colocation tenants and global enterprise users increasingly ask for hourly emissions disclosure, resilient power architecture, and traceable clean-power procurement. At the same time, Indian grids remain variable across states in terms of open-access processing timelines, banking treatment, cross-subsidy surcharge, wheeling losses, standby arrangements and reliability during peak demand periods.

This article outlines what a practical 2026 energy strategy looks like for Indian data centres, with a focus on 24/7 CFE, RTC contracting, grid access planning, BESS sizing, EMS design, and PUE improvement.

Why annual renewable matching is no longer enough

Many commercial and industrial consumers in India have historically met sustainability goals through annual renewable-energy accounting. A data centre might contract solar or wind under open access, captive, group captive or utility green tariff structures and claim that annual renewable generation equals annual consumption. That approach is becoming insufficient for three reasons.

First, annual matching hides the hour-by-hour mismatch between data centre load and renewable availability. A 50 MW IT load operates continuously. Solar generation in India is concentrated in roughly 8 to 10 productive daylight hours, with seasonal and monsoon variability. Wind output may improve night-time matching but remains site- and season-dependent. Annual accounting can therefore coincide with heavy evening and early-morning grid draw from thermal-heavy supply.

Second, international customers increasingly prefer hourly matching metrics. 24/7 CFE frameworks measure the share of every hour's consumption met by carbon-free electricity, either directly or through portfolio matching. For a data centre serving multinational cloud and AI workloads, this reporting distinction is becoming commercially relevant.

Third, grid constraints have become material. In several states, curtailment risk, congestion, substation loading and transmission outages can reduce the effective firmness of contracted renewable supply. A simple annual megawatt-hour procurement number does not guarantee operational resilience.

For most Indian facilities, the realistic target in 2026 is not immediate 100% hourly clean matching. It is a staged increase from annual renewable claims to 60-80% hourly clean matching for key facilities, supported by hybrid sourcing, batteries, demand management and better scheduling.

The Indian data centre load shape and what it means for power contracting

Data centres are different from typical factories because the load is both flat and critical. A manufacturing plant may have a daytime-heavy load and can sometimes curtail non-essential processes. A colocation or hyperscale data centre must maintain power quality and continuity at all times. Even where UPS and diesel backup exist, the underlying power-procurement strategy must consider 24x7 base demand.

A simplified example helps. Consider a 100 MW gross facility with:

- 70 MW average IT load
- PUE of 1.45
- Total average facility load of about 101.5 MW
- Annual electricity consumption of roughly 889 GWh

If this facility signs only a solar open-access contract, perhaps with a CUF of 24%, it would need around 420 MW of solar capacity to annual-match energy. But that would leave major hourly deficits after sunset. If it signs only a wind contract with 32% CUF, it may still face long deficit periods during low-wind months. In practice, Indian data centres need a portfolio approach.

A workable 2026 contracting stack typically combines:

- Solar for low-cost daytime energy, often in the range of Rs 2.4-3.2/kWh at generator busbar depending on state, ISTS/STS treatment, and project configuration
- Wind for evening and monsoon support, often around Rs 3.2-4.2/kWh
- Hybrid wind-solar PPAs for smoother supply profiles, usually delivered in the Rs 3.4-4.6/kWh band before location-specific landed costs
- RTC or firmed renewable products that may reach Rs 4.8-6.5/kWh depending on storage content, contract structure and offtake risk
- Grid power for residual balancing, standby and contingency
- On-site or near-site BESS to manage short-duration deficits, demand spikes and diesel displacement

The key is not to compare these components only on headline tariff. The relevant metric is the all-in delivered cost of reliable energy at the data centre bus, including transmission charges, wheeling, banking rules, losses, cross-subsidy surcharge where applicable, standby arrangements, scheduling deviations, and backup fuel savings.

24/7 CFE and RTC contracts: what works in India in 2026

In India, round-the-clock renewable contracting has evolved beyond plain vanilla solar-plus-wind procurement. SECI-led RTC and hybrid tender structures have helped establish price discovery and operating benchmarks, although project-specific delivered economics for private C&I consumers differ meaningfully from central procurement tariffs.

For data centres, there are four practical routes.

The first is utility-supplied green tariff or green open-access procurement for a portion of load. This is simple from an operations perspective but often offers limited hourly traceability and less control over sourcing profile.

The second is a portfolio of captive or third-party open-access solar and wind projects spread across resource regimes. This gives better economics and control, but requires active portfolio management, forecasting, deviation discipline and state-level regulatory navigation.

The third is a contracted RTC or firm renewable product. Here, a developer or trader supplies a shaped profile, usually using a mix of solar, wind, hydro, market purchases and increasingly storage. This reduces complexity for the data centre operator but comes at a premium. For high-uptime facilities, that premium may still be value-accretive if it lowers diesel reliance and improves tenant pricing power.

The fourth is a behind-the-meter or campus-integrated approach that combines rooftop or ground-mounted solar, gas-insulated substation planning, BESS, and EMS-controlled load optimisation, layered on top of open-access or utility supply.

In 2026, the most robust Indian approach is usually a hybrid of these routes. For example:

- 35-45% of annual energy from solar open access
- 25-35% from wind or hybrid open access
- 10-20% from firmed or RTC product for critical evening blocks
- 5-15% from on-site solar where space allows
- Residual balancing from grid plus on-site BESS dispatch

This mix can materially improve hourly clean matching while keeping weighted landed power cost competitive. For prime data centre markets, many operators still see utility/grid tariffs in the broad range of Rs 7-10/kWh for HT consumers, excluding certain reliability and demand charges. A well-structured renewable-plus-storage portfolio can bring average energy cost below this benchmark for a meaningful share of consumption, though final outcomes are highly state-specific.

Grid connectivity is the foundation, not an afterthought

A recurring mistake in data centre planning is treating grid connectivity as a permits item rather than a core commercial risk. For a 50 MW, 100 MW or 300 MW campus, transmission and distribution planning can determine time to energisation, redundancy quality and scalability.

In 2026, operators should assess at least the following before land finalisation or facility expansion:

- Available evacuation capacity at the nearest suitable substation
- Voltage level requirements, typically 110 kV, 220 kV or higher depending on load block and state norms
- N-1 or N-2 redundancy in incoming feeders and transformation
- Utility outage history and local SAIDI/SAIFI proxies where available
- Distance-related capex for dedicated lines and bay extensions
- Open-access feasibility within the state and interstate options
- Standby supply arrangements and demand-charge implications
- Future capacity reservation for phased expansion, especially AI-heavy loads

Data centre developers should also examine whether planned renewable procurement points create congestion or curtailment exposure. A cheap PPA is less valuable if scheduling risk and transmission bottlenecks force repeated market purchases at peak times. During high-demand months, day-ahead and real-time market prices can spike well above contracted renewable tariffs. A proper grid strategy therefore links substation selection, contracted supply profile and battery dispatch logic.

For lenders and investors, grid-readiness is now a bankability issue. Delayed energisation can affect ramp-up schedules, tenant commitments and debt drawdown timing. Utilities and policymakers should note that fast-growing data centre corridors need transmission strengthening in advance, not only after load materialises.

On-site BESS: the economics are improving, but use-case discipline matters

Battery energy storage is now central to the data centre energy stack, but it should not be sold as a universal substitute for grid weakness or long-duration renewable intermittency. In India, the strongest 2026 business case for on-site BESS is typically built on a combination of use cases rather than a single revenue stream.

Relevant applications include:

- Peak shaving and demand-charge reduction
- UPS support integration and power-quality improvement
- Bridging short-duration renewable variability
- Reduction in diesel generator runtime during short outages or transfer events
- Energy arbitrage where time-of-day tariff spreads are meaningful
- Backup support for critical cooling and auxiliary loads
- Participation in future grid services markets where rules permit

For many campuses, a 1-2 hour battery is the current sweet spot. A 20 MW / 40 MWh or 50 MW / 100 MWh system can materially reduce expensive evening imports and improve resilience. Installed costs have moderated compared with earlier years, though delivered project cost still varies with cell chemistry, fire safety design, HVAC, augmentation assumptions, import dependence, and EPC scope. As a broad market indication, fully integrated utility-scale or large C&I BESS capex in India in 2026 may fall in the approximate range of Rs 4.5-6.5 crore per MWh for many configurations, but project-specific numbers can differ.

The key economic test is not whether battery energy is cheaper than solar energy. It is whether battery-enabled system optimisation lowers the blended cost of reliable power versus the counterfactual of grid purchases, diesel usage, overbuilt backup infrastructure or tenant risk. For data centres, the avoided cost of downtime or contractual SLA risk can justify storage even where plain energy arbitrage looks marginal.

System design matters. Safety architecture, thermal management, isolation philosophy, black-start logic, fire suppression and EMS coordination with UPS systems must be engineered carefully. Data centre BESS is not a generic add-on.

EMS and digital controls: where 24/7 CFE becomes operational

An energy management system is the layer that converts multiple power sources into a coherent operating strategy. Without EMS, a data centre may own solar, wind contracts, batteries and grid connectivity but still operate sub-optimally.

A fit-for-purpose 2026 EMS for data centres should perform at least these functions:

- Forecast renewable generation and facility load at 15-minute or finer intervals
- Optimise battery charge-discharge schedules against tariff periods and reliability constraints
- Monitor hourly clean-energy matching for internal and customer reporting

- Trigger curtailment or defer non-critical auxiliary loads where feasible
- Coordinate DG start/stop logic to minimise fuel burn during short grid events
- Provide real-time visibility on source-wise energy cost and emissions intensity
- Integrate with building management systems, cooling plant controls and UPS architecture

This is especially important because PUE optimisation and energy procurement are linked. If the EMS can flatten cooling peaks, optimise chiller sequencing, adjust setpoints safely, and coordinate battery dispatch during high-tariff windows, the facility can reduce both total consumption and the cost of the most expensive hours.

For large campuses, operators should increasingly track a metric set broader than PUE alone:

- PUE by block and by weather condition
- Carbon intensity by hour
- Share of hourly load matched by carbon-free supply
- Battery cycling efficiency and degradation trend
- Grid outage duration and transfer performance
- Diesel runtime per MWh of IT load

These metrics are becoming relevant not only for operations teams, but also for customers, insurers and lenders.

PUE optimisation remains the cheapest megawatt

While procurement discussions often dominate boardrooms, the cheapest clean megawatt is still the one not consumed. In Indian climatic conditions, especially in hot and humid zones like Chennai and Navi Mumbai, PUE management can unlock large savings.

Take a 100 MW IT load facility.

- At PUE 1.50, total facility load is 150 MW
- At PUE 1.40, total facility load is 140 MW
- The 0.10 improvement saves 10 MW continuously
- Annual electricity saving is about 87.6 GWh

At an all-in landed power cost of Rs 7/kWh, that is more than Rs 61 crore per year. If the marginal cost of peak-hour power is Rs 9-10/kWh, the operational value is even higher. This scale of saving can materially improve the economics of 24/7 CFE targets because the operator needs less firming energy and less battery capacity.

Priority PUE levers in India include:

- High-efficiency chillers and variable-speed drives
- Improved airflow management and hot-aisle containment
- Higher server inlet temperature within OEM-safe ranges
- Free cooling or hybrid cooling where climate permits
- Liquid cooling strategy for AI and high-density racks
- Real-time control of CRAH/CRAC units and pumps
- Transformer and UPS efficiency optimisation at partial loads

- Modular capacity build-out to avoid stranded auxiliary consumption

AI workloads are changing the conversation further. Higher rack densities can worsen thermal concentration, but they may also improve computing output per unit floor area if cooling systems are redesigned appropriately. This means PUE should not be pursued as an isolated vanity metric; it must be evaluated alongside water use, rack density, uptime and energy-source quality.

What developers, lenders and policymakers should do next

For RE developers, data centres are among the most attractive offtakers in India because of strong credit profiles, long load visibility and willingness to pay for reliability. But selling into this segment requires more than a solar PPA. Developers should package shaped products, hybrid portfolios, storage-backed supply and transparent hourly reporting.

For lenders, underwriting should move beyond generic renewable offtake assessment. Key diligence items include state-level open-access stability, curtailment history, standby arrangements, battery integration risk, tenant concentration, and the legal structure of captive or third-party supply. The quality of the EMS and operational control framework increasingly matters to cash-flow stability.

For utilities and policymakers, data centres should be treated as strategic digital infrastructure with unique energy needs. Priority actions include:

- Faster processing of high-load connections and augmentation approvals
- Clear frameworks for colocated storage and hybrid supply
- Rationalisation and predictability in open-access charges
- Better visibility on feeder reliability and substation capacity
- Time-of-day tariffs that reward flexible response and storage
- Interconnection standards that support safe battery deployment

India has the ingredients to become a global data centre and AI infrastructure hub, but power architecture will determine competitiveness. Facilities that combine strong grid connectivity, diversified renewable sourcing, disciplined battery deployment, advanced EMS and measurable PUE improvement will be best positioned to deliver both uptime and cost efficiency.

For data centre operators, the practical question is not whether to choose between 24/7 CFE, RTC contracting, BESS or PUE optimisation. The right answer is an integrated roadmap that sequences them based on site constraints, tariff structure, customer commitments and financing strategy.

If your organisation is evaluating data centre power sourcing, storage sizing, grid connectivity, EMS architecture or PUE-linked energy strategy, contact Growthifye’s advisory desk for a project-specific assessment.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Sudarshan Karweer — Chief Executive Officer, Growthifye

Over 23 years in management consulting — concept to scale — building and scaling new-age digital and energy businesses. An EY alumnus, Sudarshan leads Growthifye's renewable energy & storage advisory, EPC, transmission engineering and green-financing practices for developers, utilities and C&I consumers across India.
Contact: info@growthifye.com · +91 99676 45323 · growthifye.com