



BESS Economics Are Won Before Commissioning: The Cell-to-Container Choices That Decide Project Returns

By Sudarshan Karweer · 07 September 2026 · growthifye.com

In storage, project returns are often locked in long before COD, by engineering choices from cell chemistry to container architecture.

Storage Has Moved Beyond the PowerPoint Phase

In my 23 years across strategy, engineering-led growth, and capital structuring, I have learned that infrastructure markets mature in a very predictable way. First, everyone talks about capacity. Then they talk about tariffs. Eventually, the real winners focus on design detail, operating discipline, and lifecycle economics. Battery energy storage systems, or BESS, have now entered that third phase.

What we see across our mandates at Growthifye is that too many storage conversations still begin with headline numbers: rupees per kWh, MW and MWh sizing, or the latest tender tariff. Those numbers matter, but they do not decide project quality on their own. In BESS, returns are often won or lost much earlier, in decisions that sit between the electrochemistry lab and the site boundary wall.

Cell format, chemistry, thermal design, fire strategy, augmentation philosophy, inverter topology, container density, controls integration, and warranty structure are not isolated engineering choices. They are economic choices. And in India, where the market is scaling rapidly under tight tariff expectations, these choices will determine which projects remain bankable after year five, not just which ones look attractive on financial close day.

The Global Reset: Storage Is Becoming Strategic Infrastructure

Globally, BESS is no longer treated as a niche balancing product attached to renewables. It is becoming strategic infrastructure for grid resilience, energy security, industrial competitiveness, and market flexibility.

Three shifts are defining the world order around storage.

- First, supply chains are reorganising around geopolitical resilience, not just lowest-cost sourcing.
- Second, grid operators are demanding more sophisticated performance from storage, especially around ramping, response time, cycling, and availability.
- Third, investors are becoming more careful about technology bankability, degradation risk, and revenue-stack realism.

The old assumption was simple: battery prices would keep falling, so any design inefficiency today could be corrected cheaply tomorrow. That assumption is too lazy for the next decade. Yes, prices may remain competitive, but the strategic value of reliable, certifiable, insurable, and controllable storage is rising faster than the value of raw energy capacity alone.

The implications are significant for India. We are not entering the storage age in a vacuum. We are entering it in a world shaped by manufacturing concentration, evolving safety codes, trade barriers, and tightening lender scrutiny. That means Indian developers, utilities, and industrial buyers cannot think of BESS as a commoditised box. They need to think of it as a multi-layered engineered asset whose performance must



survive policy shifts, ambient heat, grid instability, and long-term operational realities.

Why Cell-to-Container Decisions Matter More Than Most Sponsors Expect

A surprising number of project teams still treat the battery container as the unit of procurement. In practice, by the time one sees the container, many of the most important economic choices have already been made.

Let us take a few examples.

- Cell chemistry affects not only capex, but cycle life, thermal behaviour, charge-discharge flexibility, and insurance comfort.
- Cell format influences packing density, maintainability, fault propagation pathways, and replacement logistics.
- Module and rack architecture shape serviceability, redundancy, and the speed of isolating failed strings.
- Thermal management determines usable performance in Indian climates far more than many spreadsheets acknowledge.
- Fire detection and suppression strategy can materially affect permitting, insurer acceptance, and plant downtime risk.
- DC coupling and AC coupling decisions influence both design flexibility and round-trip losses.
- EMS and BMS interoperability can either unlock revenue optimisation or create years of underperformance.

In other words, the cell is not merely a component and the container is not merely a housing. The path between them is where project economics are translated into physical reality.

In India particularly, ambient conditions create a brutal filter. Heat, dust, monsoon exposure, variable grid conditions, and O&M capability gaps expose weak engineering quickly. A design that appears efficient in a temperate-market brochure may behave very differently on an Indian site with constrained water use, patchy auxiliary power reliability, and demanding dispatch profiles.

This is where experience matters. What we see across projects is that sponsors often over-negotiate visible capex and under-interrogate hidden lifecycle assumptions. A cheaper container with tighter energy density may look attractive initially, but if it creates thermal stress, difficult access, or higher augmentation needs, the apparent savings disappear.

The Economics Few Models Capture Properly

The industry still tends to model BESS economics too narrowly. Many financial models remain capex-led with broad assumptions on degradation and replacement. That may be enough to clear an investment memo, but it is not enough to build a durable asset.

The real economics of storage should account for the following.

- Delivered usable energy, not merely nameplate capacity
- Degradation under actual duty cycle and temperature conditions
- Auxiliary load, especially for cooling in hot climates
- Revenue loss from downtime during troubleshooting or partial outages
- Augmentation timing, cost, and integration complexity
- Warranty compliance constraints that limit operational freedom



- Replacement lead times and spare-part availability
- Insurance costs linked to technology, layout, and fire philosophy
- End-of-life obligations, salvage assumptions, and recycling pathways

In my experience, the most expensive BESS mistakes are not the ones that inflate day-one capex by 5%. They are the ones that reduce dispatchability, increase forced outages, or require expensive augmentation earlier than planned.

A contrarian view I hold strongly is this: in many projects, the market is still underpricing engineering quality and overpricing initial capacity. Sponsors often assume that buying more MWh at the outset is safer. But if those extra MWh sit inside a design with poorer thermal resilience, weaker controls integration, or tighter warranty operating windows, they may create lower project value than a slightly smaller but better-engineered system.

The next wave of winners will not be the buyers of the cheapest storage. They will be the buyers of the most financeable performance.

What This Means for India's Storage Build-Out

India's opportunity is extraordinary. Storage will sit at the centre of renewable firming, evening peak support, ancillary services, commercial and industrial resilience, and eventually distribution-level flexibility. But India's storage market will not mature simply by adding gigawatt-hours. It will mature when technical discipline catches up with procurement speed.

There are four India-specific realities that deserve more attention.

- Tariff pressure is already pushing aggressive cost assumptions into project structuring.
- Site conditions across India demand climate-aware engineering, not imported default designs.
- Grid-use cases are diverse, so one standard BESS architecture will not suit every mandate.
- Domestic capability in integration, testing, controls, and O&M must deepen alongside manufacturing ambitions.

This last point is especially important. The national conversation often gravitates toward cell manufacturing and localisation. That is understandable, but incomplete. India also needs excellence in system integration, software control, thermal engineering, safety compliance, commissioning protocols, and asset management. A storage market cannot be globally competitive if it localises supply but imports judgement.

I also believe India has an opportunity to skip a phase of market immaturity that other regions went through. We do not need to repeat a cycle where poorly specified projects are rushed into service and then corrected later through costly lessons. With the right mix of advisory rigour, EPC discipline, and financing realism, India can build a storage market that is smarter from the start.

The Next Battleground: Bankability Will Move from Equipment to Performance Architecture

Over the next three to five years, I expect lender and investor diligence in BESS to become sharper and more technical. Not just around supplier pedigree, but around whole-system performance architecture.

That means questions such as:

- How robust is the degradation model under the intended dispatch profile?
- What operating range is required to preserve warranty validity?



- How independent are the EMS, PCS, and BMS layers from vendor lock-in?
- What is the augmentation strategy and what are its financing implications?
- Can the site safely scale or repower without major redesign?
- What failure containment assumptions sit behind the layout and emergency response plan?

This is where project developers in India need to think ahead. A BESS plant is not just an EPC outcome. It is a long-duration contract between engineering assumptions and financial promises. If those assumptions are vague, the financing may still close in a hot market, but the asset will eventually reveal the truth.

My forward-looking take is that storage portfolios will increasingly be differentiated by digital operating intelligence rather than hardware alone. The industry has spent years obsessing over battery prices. The next advantage will come from controls, diagnostics, predictive maintenance, dispatch optimisation, and the ability to preserve battery health while monetising multiple use cases. In simple terms, software-guided discipline will matter almost as much as electrochemistry.

For India, that is encouraging. It means value creation is not limited to manufacturing scale. It also sits in engineering talent, operating analytics, and the ability to translate local grid realities into smarter system design.

The Growthifye View

- In BESS, the biggest economic decisions are often made before procurement closes. Cell-to-container architecture must be evaluated as a lifecycle value question, not a line-item cost question.
- India should resist treating storage as a commoditised add-on to renewables. Our climate, grid conditions, and tariff realities demand use-case-specific engineering.
- The global market is rewarding resilient, insurable, controllable storage systems. India's winners will be those who combine cost competitiveness with technical credibility.
- The future of storage bankability will depend less on headline MWh and more on performance architecture: thermal design, controls integration, augmentation strategy, and O&M intelligence.

Sudarshan Karweer

CEO, Growthifye

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Sudarshan Karweer — Chief Executive Officer, Growthifye

Over 23 years in management consulting — concept to scale — building and scaling new-age digital and energy businesses. An EY alumnus, Sudarshan leads Growthifye's renewable energy & storage advisory, EPC, transmission engineering and green-financing practices for developers, utilities and C&I consumers across India.
Contact: info@growthifye.com · +91 84510 99371 · growthifye.com

This article is for general information only and does not constitute engineering, financial or legal advice. © Growthifye. Sharing with attribution is welcome.