BESS Decommissioning in India 2026: Repowering, Residual Value and Compliance

By Sudarshan Karweer · 25 August 2026 · growthifye.com

A practical 2026 guide to BESS decommissioning in India: residual value, repowering economics, safety, waste rules and lender strategy.

India's battery-energy-storage pipeline is scaling fast across utility, C&I and renewable-hybrid projects, but a critical downstream question is still underpriced in many bids and financing models: what happens at end of life? In 2026, BESS decommissioning is no longer a distant asset-management issue. It affects EPC scope, augmentation planning, lender diligence, insurance, offtake strategy, environmental compliance and terminal-value assumptions from day one.

For Indian developers, C&I consumers, utilities and lenders, the right lens is not only "battery recycling". The more bankable framework is decommissioning plus repowering plus residual-value recovery. That means planning for when containers are retired, whether modules are replaced in phases, how much salvage value is realistic, which compliance approvals apply, and who carries the cost and liability.

This article looks specifically at decommissioning and repowering strategy for grid-scale and behind-the-meter BESS in India in 2026, a distinctly different angle from generic recycling or second-life discussions. The focus is practical: commercial triggers, cost build-up, policy interface, contract structures and financial modelling implications.

Why BESS decommissioning is becoming a bankability issue in India

A 2026 Indian BESS project is typically underwritten over 10 to 15 years, but battery performance does not decline in a neat straight line. Actual retirement timing depends on:

- cycle count and depth of discharge
- temperature history and HVAC performance
- augmentation philosophy
- operating regime under energy arbitrage, ancillary services or peak shaving
- warranty throughput limits
- safety events and module isolation history
- site expansion or repowering decisions

For utility-scale systems, many developers are now bidding storage-linked projects with aggressive availability and performance guarantees. In such cases, terminal-year battery condition matters because late-life degradation can compress dispatch value sharply. For C&I projects, demand-charge management and backup-value economics can also deteriorate faster than expected if degradation is not matched with augmentation.

Lenders have become more alert to this. In debt diligence, three questions are increasingly common in 2026:

- Is there a funded decommissioning reserve or at least a documented end-of-life cost assumption?
- Is the EPC/O&M structure clear on removal, transport, hazardous handling and site restoration?
- Has the financial model included residual value conservatively rather than assuming optimistic scrap recovery?

In other words, decommissioning is now part of project risk, not merely waste management.

The Indian 2026 cost stack: what decommissioning actually includes

Many project models still use a single placeholder number for battery disposal. That is inadequate. Real decommissioning cost in India has multiple components, and each should be separated.

A practical cost stack for lithium-ion BESS decommissioning includes:

- electrical isolation, system shutdown and testing
- fire-safe discharge or energy neutralisation where required
- dismantling of racks, modules, strings and container internals
- packaging under dangerous-goods handling protocols
- temporary storage at site under safety controls
- transport to authorised dismantlers or recyclers
- recycler gate fee or commercial settlement terms
- site remediation, cleaning and restoration
- inverter, transformer, switchgear and balance-of-plant removal where applicable
- statutory documentation, manifests, audit trail and reporting

For Indian projects in 2026, broad market discussions suggest decommissioning-only costs can vary widely depending on chemistry, module format, site location, transport distance and whether a recycler pays for recoverable material. A reasonable high-level planning range for full battery-system decommissioning may sit around INR 1.5 million to INR 4.5 million per MWh for many projects if one includes dismantling, logistics and compliance-related handling. However, this range should not be used blindly. Large projects near western or southern recycling clusters may see lower net costs if recoverable material value is strong, while remote projects with fragmented pack formats may be more expensive.

For LFP systems, residual material value is often lower than for nickel-rich chemistries because lithium iron phosphate does not contain nickel or cobalt. That means net recycler payments may be weaker, and in some cases the asset owner may bear a higher disposal cost. This is a major modelling issue because India’s stationary-storage pipeline is increasingly LFP-heavy.

Residual value is not scrap value: how to model terminal economics correctly

One of the biggest mistakes in BESS underwriting is to assume residual value based on headline commodity recovery without adjusting for actual project conditions. In practice, residual value depends on at least six variables:

- chemistry and recoverable material mix
- state of health at retirement
- contamination, damage or thermal-event history
- logistics cost to collection and recycling facilities
- prevailing black-mass or recovered-material pricing environment
- contractual ownership of value recovery

In Indian 2026 project models, residual value should be treated as a scenario variable, not a fixed upside. For example:

- Best case: modules are removed safely, chemistry is commercially attractive, and the recycler offers a positive net settlement.
- Base case: recoverable value offsets only a portion of dismantling and logistics costs.
- Stress case: the owner pays a full disposal and compliance cost with no meaningful salvage inflow.

For C&I BESS of 5 to 20 MWh, the difference between these scenarios may materially affect project IRR in terminal years, especially if the storage asset is financed on a 10-year structure with merchant or quasi-merchant tail assumptions. For utility-scale projects above 100 MWh, end-of-life assumptions can shift lender DSCR views and reserve requirements even if the NPV effect appears modest at first glance.

A better modelling approach for Indian developers is to split terminal value into three buckets:

- battery-material recovery value
- reusable non-battery BOS value such as transformers, inverters, EMS hardware or containers where feasible
- site restoration cost net of salvage

This is especially important in repowering decisions, because many BOS components may remain serviceable while battery modules are replaced.

Repowering versus full retirement: the key commercial decision

In India, a large share of 2026 BESS assets should not be thought of as “single-life projects”. They are better viewed as platforms that may be repowered. Repowering means replacing battery modules or containers while retaining some or all of the interconnection, land, evacuation and BOS infrastructure.

This can be commercially attractive where:

- grid interconnection has high strategic value
- land and permitting are difficult to replicate
- the project has a strong offtake arrangement or demand-charge savings case
- inverter and transformer assets still have useful life
- newer battery packs offer meaningfully better energy density or lower capex

For India in 2026, fresh utility-scale BESS capex has been under pressure from procurement scale, domestic assembly developments and improving supply-chain localisation, though pricing still varies sharply by duration, warranty package and imported-content profile. Repowering economics must therefore compare the cost of replacing only the battery block versus building an entirely new system.

A practical rule for developers is to ask three questions around year 8 to year 12 of operation:

- Has battery degradation materially reduced project revenues or contracted performance?
- Can repowering restore economics at a lower cost than greenfield replacement?
- Are compliance and warranty interfaces manageable with mixed-vintage equipment?

Repowering may improve project IRR if existing BOS reuse is substantial. But mixed-technology integration creates technical and contractual complexity. Old EMS logic, HVAC sizing, fire systems and DC architecture may need redesign. New modules may not be drop-in replacements. OEM support also becomes a central issue if the original supplier has changed product lines or exited the market.

Compliance and regulatory interfaces in India 2026

Decommissioning is not only a technical or commercial event. It sits at the intersection of waste regulation, transport compliance, environmental permitting and contractual obligations.

In India, stakeholders need to map decommissioning obligations against:

- Battery Waste Management Rules, 2022 and subsequent implementation practices
- Hazardous and Other Wastes framework where relevant to handling and storage interfaces
- State Pollution Control Board requirements on temporary storage, authorisation and recordkeeping
- Central Pollution Control Board guidance and portal-based compliance processes where applicable
- Motor transport and dangerous-goods packaging norms for movement of damaged or end-of-life battery units
- local fire authority and industrial safety requirements during dismantling and staging

For project sponsors, the key practical issue is chain of custody. Once a battery is removed from service, there must be a documented pathway to an authorised entity for dismantling, refurbishing where legally permitted, or recycling. Informal disposal is not only a compliance failure; it can become a lender, ESG and reputational problem.

C&I consumers should pay close attention to lease and service structures. In some on-site storage arrangements, asset ownership may sit with a developer or SPV, but site-level liabilities during temporary storage, emergency response or access control can still affect the host facility. Contracts must clearly allocate responsibility for:

- end-of-life removal timelines
- insurance during dismantling
- contamination or fire incidents
- waste manifests and reporting
- recycler selection and audit rights
- residual-value entitlement

Utilities and public procurers should likewise tighten tender documents. Bid formats increasingly need standard provisions on decommissioning plans, EHS protocols, recycler tie-ups and reserve assumptions.

What developers and lenders should change in contracts and due diligence

By 2026, it is no longer sufficient for EPC contracts to say the supplier will "dispose of batteries in accordance with law." That language is too vague. Indian project documents should become more operational.

Recommended inclusions in EPC, supply, LTSA and financing packages are:

- a defined decommissioning plan annexure from day one
- battery inventory mapping by chemistry, serialisation and warranty cohort
- module removal and packaging procedures
- emergency protocols for damaged, swollen or thermally affected units
- approved transporter and recycler criteria
- data-sharing requirements for state-of-health and throughput history
- residual-value sharing formula, if any
- site restoration scope and acceptance criteria

- reserve-account or cashflow waterfall treatment where lenders require it

For lenders, technical due diligence should test whether the project's augmentation and retirement assumptions are internally consistent. If the model assumes 12-year operation without major replacement, the warranty and throughput profile should support that claim. If the model assumes repowering in year 10, then capex timing, downtime, revenue reset and residual-value treatment should be explicit.

Insurance advisers should also be involved earlier. A history of module faults, water ingress or thermal incidents can reduce both operational insurability and end-of-life residual value. Decommissioning risk is therefore linked with asset-health reporting over the life of the system.

Strategic implications for Indian C&I users, utilities and policymakers

For C&I energy users, the immediate implication is procurement discipline. A low upfront storage tariff can be misleading if decommissioning responsibility is vague or if repowering rights are poorly drafted. The right question is not only the contracted Rs/kWh or monthly service fee. It is total lifecycle cost, including retirement, replacement and compliance risk.

For utilities and renewable developers, decommissioning planning can improve bid quality. Storage attached to solar, wind or RTC projects should be structured with clear augmentation pathways. This is especially relevant in projects where time-shift value and availability commitments are central to tariff competitiveness.

For policymakers, there is a circularity opportunity beyond generic recycling targets. India can improve project-bankability by standardising documentation, transport handling, recycler qualification and data-reporting practices for stationary batteries. A more transparent end-of-life ecosystem will reduce financing uncertainty and improve domestic recovery economics over time.

There is also a manufacturing-policy angle. As domestic cell and pack assembly expands under production-linked and localisation-driven strategies, the downstream framework for end-of-life management should evolve in parallel. Standardised pack design, disassembly-friendly architecture and traceable service histories can materially lower decommissioning cost and improve material recovery outcomes.

The 2026 decision framework: from afterthought to lifecycle strategy

For most Indian storage stakeholders, the practical takeaway is simple: decommissioning should be priced at financial close, not discovered at asset retirement. The best projects now treat end of life as a lifecycle strategy with four linked decisions:

- how the battery will be operated and augmented
- when repowering becomes preferable to continued operation
- what compliance pathway governs retirement and material movement
- how terminal value and liabilities are allocated among owner, operator, OEM and recycler

Projects that solve these questions early will have better lender outcomes, cleaner contract structures and more credible lifecycle economics. Those that do not may find that a seemingly bankable BESS tariff concealed a material future liability.

For Indian developers, lenders and C&I buyers entering storage in 2026, the market is no longer only about capex and dispatch revenue. It is equally about what remains at the end: usable infrastructure, recoverable materials, compliance obligations and the commercial logic of repowering.

If you are evaluating BESS lifecycle strategy, decommissioning reserves, repowering economics or circularity compliance, contact Growthifye's advisory desk for project-specific support on technical due diligence, contract structuring, policy mapping and financial modelling.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Sudarshan Karweer — Chief Executive Officer, Growthifye

Over 23 years in management consulting — concept to scale — building and scaling new-age digital and energy businesses. An EY alumnus, Sudarshan leads Growthifye's renewable energy & storage advisory, EPC, transmission engineering and green-financing practices for developers, utilities and C&I consumers across India.

Contact: info@growthifye.com · +91 84510 99371 · growthifye.com