Battery Second-Life Testing India 2026: Grading, Bankability and Project Economics

By Sudarshan Karweer · 31 August 2026 · growthifye.com

A 2026 India guide to second-life battery testing, grading, warranties, economics and lender risk for C&I storage and utility pilots.

India's storage market is expanding fast, but battery circularity will not scale on intent alone. The next bottleneck is not collection, recycling, or decommissioning. It is whether used EV and stationary batteries can be tested, graded and underwritten well enough to enter bankable second-life applications.

For Indian commercial and industrial consumers, renewable developers, lenders, DISCOM-facing integrators and policymakers, this is now a 2026 question, not a distant one. EV packs from two-wheelers, three-wheelers, buses and early fleet deployments are beginning to create a usable stream of retired batteries. At the same time, C&I storage use cases such as peak shaving, demand-charge management, solar firming, backup support and microgrid resilience need lower-cost storage products than new lithium-ion systems can always provide.

The commercial promise is straightforward: if a battery retired from mobility can still deliver 70-80% of original usable capacity with acceptable safety and cycle-life performance, it may support stationary duty at materially lower upfront cost. The execution challenge is equally straightforward: without disciplined diagnostics, grading, repacking standards, digital traceability, warranty structures and insurance acceptance, second-life projects can quickly become unfinanceable.

This article focuses on the missing middle of India's circularity market in 2026: battery second-life testing and grading. It sets out what project developers, asset owners, lenders and regulators should evaluate before assuming that retired EV batteries can become dependable BESS assets.

Why second-life battery testing is now a commercial issue in India

India's used-battery supply is no longer theoretical. Large e-mobility fleets in last-mile logistics, e-rickshaws, electric buses, passenger EV pilots and OEM replacement streams are generating battery removals for multiple reasons:

- State-of-health decline below mobility thresholds
- Accident damage or enclosure issues
- Cell imbalance and thermal incidents at module level
- OEM replacement during warranty periods
- Fleet refresh cycles where stationary redeployment is more economic than immediate recycling

A battery can be unsuitable for mobility but still suitable for stationary service. EV use imposes high power demand, fast charging stress, vibration, temperature variability and strict range expectations. Stationary use cases often operate under lower C-rates, narrower SOC windows and controlled thermal environments. That creates the economic basis for reuse.

However, Indian market participants are now seeing the central problem: nameplate capacity tells you almost nothing about second-life value. Two retired 50 kWh packs from similar vehicles can differ sharply in usable capacity, internal resistance, self-discharge, thermal behavior, cycle stability and BMS reliability. For project underwriting, these differences directly affect:

- Delivered kWh per day
- Round-trip efficiency
- Degradation rate
- Replacement reserve assumptions
- Fire and insurance risk
- O&M intensity
- Revenue certainty under shared-savings contracts

In 2026, that means second-life project economics begin with testing, not procurement.

What “bankable grading” should include for Indian second-life projects

A practical second-life grading framework in India must do more than classify batteries as pass or fail. It should support pricing, deployment matching, warranty design and lender review. In current market practice, the most credible grading systems combine electrical, thermal, diagnostic and documentation layers.

At minimum, grading should include the following tests and checks:

- Remaining usable capacity versus original rated capacity
- DC internal resistance or impedance growth at cell and module level
- Thermal response under controlled charge-discharge cycles
- Voltage deviation and balancing stability across cells/modules
- Self-discharge behavior over monitored rest periods
- Mechanical integrity of pack casing, busbars, connectors and seals
- BMS functionality, firmware compatibility and fault-history review
- Event history, including over-temperature, over-current, crash and immersion exposure
- Traceability of chemistry, manufacturing lot, service age and prior use profile

For Indian C&I applications, a practical commercial grading structure in 2026 may look like this:

- Grade A second-life: 80%+ SOH, lower resistance growth, stable thermal performance, suitable for premium behind-the-meter applications with 5-8 year design expectation
- Grade B second-life: 70-80% SOH, moderate resistance growth, suitable for solar shifting, backup support and lower-duty peak shaving with stronger derating assumptions
- Grade C second-life: below 70% SOH or inconsistent thermal/electrical behavior, typically unsuitable for long-duration commercial BESS and more appropriate for component harvesting or direct recycling

These thresholds vary by chemistry and use case. LFP packs often tolerate stationary redeployment better than high-nickel chemistries because of thermal stability and cycle behavior, though actual field history still matters more than chemistry labels alone.

For lenders and insurers, the key is not only the SOH number. It is whether the grading method is repeatable, independently auditable and tied to deployment envelopes. A battery graded at 78% SOH for low-C-rate daytime solar shifting should not be marketed as equivalent to a new battery for fast-response demand management.

Economics in 2026: where second-life batteries can and cannot compete

In India in 2026, new LFP-based stationary storage system pricing for mainstream C&I applications typically lands around Rs 11,000-15,500 per kWh at system level for smaller and mid-scale projects, depending on duration, PCS configuration, thermal design, EMS sophistication, domestic content assumptions and warranty package. Large utility-scale procurements can price lower on an energy basis, but total installed project costs still depend heavily on BOS, controls, evacuation and safety systems.

Second-life systems are usually discussed as a deep discount to new batteries, but that simplification is misleading. The battery pack may be cheaper, yet testing, disassembly, module matching, repacking, BMS integration, thermal management redesign, fire protection, certification and O&M reserves can erase much of the apparent spread.

A realistic 2026 second-life cost stack for India often falls in these broad ranges:

- Retired battery acquisition: Rs 2,000-5,500 per kWh of residual usable capacity equivalent, depending on source and traceability
- Testing, grading and module sorting: Rs 700-1,500 per kWh
- Repackaging, racks, enclosures and BMS/EMS integration: Rs 2,000-4,000 per kWh
- Safety systems, HVAC, suppression and controls: Rs 1,000-2,500 per kWh
- Commissioning, certification and contingency: Rs 500-1,500 per kWh

That can place a well-engineered second-life system in the range of roughly Rs 7,000-13,000 per kWh at usable installed level for many C&I applications. The discount to new systems is real, but not always dramatic. The economic case improves only when three conditions hold:

- Source batteries are homogeneous enough to reduce sorting and balancing cost
- Use case duty cycle is gentle enough to extend useful life materially
- Warranty and replacement reserves are sized realistically

For C&I customers, second-life batteries generally work best where the value stack does not depend on ultra-high availability or aggressive cycling. Good examples include:

- Daytime solar capture with evening discharge for tariff arbitrage
- Demand-charge shaving where 1 cycle/day is sufficient
- Backup support layered under DG offset strategies
- Campus microgrids prioritising resilience over high-frequency merchant dispatch

They are less suitable where performance uncertainty can destroy value, such as:

- Frequency response products with tight response guarantees
- Heavy cycling applications above 1.5-2 cycles/day unless packs are high grade and tightly matched
- Mission-critical data centre support without strong redundancy architecture
- Utility procurement structures that penalise availability shortfalls sharply

For many Indian industrial consumers paying blended power tariffs of Rs 7-10 per kWh and facing high demand charges, a second-life BESS can still pencil out if all-in LCOS remains below the avoided tariff plus reliability value. But the margin for error is narrower than many early decks suggest.

Safety, standards and compliance: the non-negotiables

In India, enthusiasm around circularity sometimes runs ahead of engineering discipline. That is dangerous in second-life storage. Retired batteries carry a wider dispersion of condition than new systems, and thermal event risk is materially affected by prior usage, repair history, balancing quality and repack design.

A credible second-life project in 2026 should include:

- Pack/module isolation strategy to prevent cascading failure
- Continuous thermal and voltage monitoring at appropriate granularity
- Cell matching and derating rules documented before assembly
- Fault-tolerant BMS with event logging and remote diagnostics
- Ventilation and thermal-management design matched to chemistry and ambient conditions
- Fire detection and suppression aligned with local fire NOCs and insurer requirements
- Quarantine and safe-storage SOPs for failed modules
- End-of-second-life routing plan into authorised recyclers

Developers should also map projects against applicable Indian and global reference standards used by EPCs, OEMs, insurers and certifiers. In practice, stakeholders are relying on a mix of AIS, IEC, UL-derived and internal OEM protocols rather than one fully harmonised second-life standard. That is precisely why documented test and grading methodology matters so much.

From a compliance perspective, second-life batteries sit at the intersection of waste rules, battery management obligations, transport rules and producer responsibility expectations. If ownership transfer, residual responsibility and end-of-life routing are not clearly defined, the project can create hidden liabilities later. This is where structured EPR compliance and traceability frameworks become commercially important, not just regulatory box-ticking.

How warranties and insurance should be structured

The most common mistake in second-life projects is copying warranty logic from new BESS contracts. That does not work. A second-life battery should not carry a simplistic long-term performance promise unless it is backed by highly granular diagnostics, replacement inventory and disciplined operational controls.

Better market practice in 2026 is to split warranty into layers:

- Workmanship warranty for integration, enclosure, wiring, controls and assembly quality
- Performance warranty linked to tested starting SOH and defined operating window
- Throughput or cycle warranty with explicit exclusions for abuse, overheating and off-spec dispatch
- Availability warranty for the complete system, not each individual reused module

For C&I offtakers, the most useful commercial metric is often minimum delivered usable energy over time rather than a generic statement of “remaining capacity.” For lenders, reserve accounts or spare-module pools may be more important than a nominal headline warranty term.

Insurance remains selective. Underwriters generally ask for:

- Battery origin and chemistry disclosure
- Independent test reports
- Fire protection design basis
- O&M protocol and alarm/escalation process

- Historical failure data for similar configurations

Projects that cannot provide these usually face either high premiums, broad exclusions or no coverage at all.

Procurement strategy for developers, OEMs and lenders

If you are evaluating second-life BESS in India in 2026, procurement should begin with data access. No amount of post-facto engineering can fully compensate for poor origin records. The best source streams are typically those with known service history, telematics, controlled charging behavior and structured removal processes, such as fleet operators or OEM-managed warranty returns.

A practical procurement checklist includes:

- Source concentration: avoid highly fragmented supply if scale and homogeneity matter
- Chemistry lock: do not mix chemistries within the same architecture
- Test protocol ownership: specify who tests, who verifies and who bears misgrading risk
- Acceptance criteria: define SOH, impedance, temperature and balancing thresholds clearly
- Spare strategy: maintain inventory for replacements from the same family where possible
- Data rights: secure access to battery history and event logs
- Exit route: define residual value and recycler tie-up at final end-of-life

For lenders, diligence should go beyond capex savings. Key questions include:

- Is the dispatch profile conservative relative to battery grade?
- Are degradation assumptions based on actual tested cohorts or generic literature?
- Is there a replacement reserve built into cash flows?
- Does the EPC or integrator retain meaningful performance responsibility?
- Is insurer acceptance confirmed before financial close?

These questions are particularly important where the project is packaged with rooftop solar, open-access renewable supply or captive power optimisation. Overstating storage availability can distort the economics of the full energy solution.

What policymakers and market enablers should do next

India does not need to wait for perfect regulation to scale second-life batteries, but it does need clearer market architecture. Three interventions would have immediate impact in 2026:

- Standardised testing and grading guidance for second-life batteries used in stationary applications
- Digital traceability expectations that connect first life, reuse and final recycling
- Procurement and safety templates for public pilots, especially with utilities and public transport fleets

State nodal agencies, DISCOM innovation cells, public fleet operators and standards bodies can help create the first bankable benchmarks. Public bus batteries, telecom backup retrofits, municipal microgrids and industrial parks are sensible segments for disciplined demonstration.

The market also needs stronger integration between [End-of-life fleet audits](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/endoflifefleetaudits) and [Second-life battery applications](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/secondlifebatteryapplications) so that asset owners can compare reuse and recycling pathways with actual economics rather than assumptions. In many cases, the optimal answer will be partial reuse: some modules go to stationary service, others go directly to [Module &

battery recycling](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/moduleandbatteryrecycling) based on test outcomes.

That is the essence of real circularity in storage: not forcing every retired battery into reuse, but routing each asset to the highest-value safe pathway based on data.

The bottom line for India's 2026 circularity market

Second-life batteries can become a meaningful part of India's storage build-out, especially for cost-sensitive C&I applications and selected utility pilots. But they will not become bankable because they are cheap. They will become bankable because testing, grading, traceability, safety engineering and contractual allocation of risk are done properly.

In commercial terms, the market winners will not be those who simply secure retired battery supply. They will be those who can classify assets reliably, match them to the right duty cycles, underwrite degradation conservatively and maintain compliant end-of-life routing. That requires engineering depth, circularity process design and financial discipline in equal measure.

If your organisation is evaluating second-life storage, battery portfolio triage or circularity-linked project development, contact Growthifye's advisory desk for a practical assessment of technical feasibility, compliance exposure and commercial structuring.

Explore Growthifye's related capabilities

This analysis connects directly to our advisory practice: [End-of-life fleet audits](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/endoflifefleetaudits) · [Second-life battery applications](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/secondlifebatteryapplications) · [Module & battery recycling](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/moduleandbatteryrecycling) · [EPR compliance](/coreservices/circularityinnovation/eprcompliance).

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Sudarshan Karweer — Chief Executive Officer, Growthifye

Over 23 years in management consulting — concept to scale — building and scaling new-age digital and energy businesses. An EY alumnus, Sudarshan leads Growthifye's renewable energy & storage advisory, EPC, transmission engineering and green-financing practices for developers, utilities and C&I consumers across India.
Contact: info@growthifye.com · +91 84510 99371 · growthifye.com